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### 1.0 Introduction

### 1.1 Background



Figure 1.2. A map of the SR 202 corridor - highlighting the study area

State Route 202 (SR 202) is a two-lane state highway in eastern King County, approximately 30 miles in length. It extends from Woodinville in the west to North Bend in the east, and travels through a mix of rural and urban areas in Redmond, Sammamish, Fall City, and Snoqualmie, serving as a vital transportation link for the rural communities of Fall City, Snoqualmie, and North Bend.

In the summer of 2020, the WSDOT Management of Mobility Planning Division (MoM Division) began to study this portion of SR 202 between 244th Avenue NE and the Snoqualmie River just north of Preston-Fall City Road in Fall City in two phases.

The two segments of SR 202 here are located immediately to the east of a previous SR 202 Corridor Planning Study (CPS) which assessed needs and future conditions of SR 202 between East Lake Sammamish Parkway in Redmond and 244th Avenue NE, southeast of the city of Sammamish.

The rural segment is shown in blue in Figure 1.1 and is located between 244th Avenue Northeast and 324th Avenue Southeast in rural King County, as a high-speed, two-lane highway. This segment was highlighted for review of the intersections due to the area's recent growth and feedback from the community which expressed concerns following two fatal crashes in the summer of 2019. The intersections at Ames Lake Road and Northeast Tolt Hill Road in particular were highlighted for their history of crashes and safety concerns.

The urban segment is shown in orange in Figure 1.1 and passes through the census designated place of Fall City before crossing the Snoqualmie River and continuing southeast towards the town of Snoqualmie. The business district, which is popular with visitors recreating on the river and frequenting the town's restaurants and cafés, lacks separated pedestrian facilities. There is a jersey barrier is present on the west side of the bridge heading north over the Snoqualmie River before the route transitions to an at-grade pedestrian path indicated by pavement markings.

The Puget Sound Regional Council's (PSRC) regional transportation improvement plan (TIP) has identified one project on SR 202 and within the study area. It is "Evans Creek Vicinity to SE Fish Hatchery Road Stormwater Retrofit" (page 540 of the TIP, Project I.D. \#WDNW - 2059). It should be noted that this project is not relevant to the near-term operations, safety, and active transportation focus of this targeted study. Other than this project there are no other references to SR 202 in either the TIP or PSRC's regional transportation plan.

Additionally, King County's Transportation Needs Report (2020) does not identify any projects directly on SR 202; though there are guardrail and bridge replacement projects identified near the SR 202 corridor.

### 1.2 Study purpose

In cooperation with study partners, WSDOT developed a problem statement to guide the development of this study.

SR 202 in rural King County between the intersections of 244th Avenue Northeast and 324th Avenue Southeast has operational and safety performance issues. SR 202 in Fall City lacks complete active transportation facilities and has documented performance issues.

## Study objectives

The goals of this study are to assess the performance of safety, multimodal access, and improved accessibility for all corridor users, and then provide strategies to address the identified performance gaps.

## Rural Segment - 244th Avenue Northeast to 324th Avenue Southeast/West River Road intersection

This phase focused on multimodal safety performance, especially at intersections.

## Urban segment - Fall City Segment - 324th Avenue Southeast to the Snoqualmie River

 This phase segment included portions of SR 202 leading into and through the Fall City community. The identified performance issues included pedestrian and bicycle safety, improved intersection designs and additional accessibility needs in the businesses district.The timeframe of this analysis is near/mid-term (zero to six years and up to 12 years).

### 2.0 Study structure

This study documents the overall process WSDOT followed including how community engagement informed concept development and screening. It identifies performance gaps on the SR 202 corridor between 244th Avenue Northeast and the Snoqualmie River and serves as an action plan for implementing recommended concepts packages into project development and ultimately construction.

The final chapter includes recommendations for next steps and implementation actions for WSDOT and study partners. It also includes a summary of potential grant funding sources. The recommendations in this report are not a guarantee of any action or funding from WSDOT. WSDOT will consider strategies identified when making determinations on capital improvements within its project development processes. WSDOT may also be directed to fund strategies or portions of strategies in this plan by the Washington State Legislature. As funding becomes available to further develop the strategies, WSDOT will initiate formal environmental review.

### 2.1 Study process

Using community and stakeholder input WSDOT conducted a high-level assessment of multimodal safety, access, and environmental performance gaps for the SR 202 corridor. That work included:

- Existing conditions assessment including current traffic volumes, five-year crash history (2014-2019), documented existing roadway facilities geometry, active transportation facilities, transit service, environmental features and sensitive areas, and other relevant existing conditions.
- Community engagement assessment of the concerns of the public and stakeholders.

WSDOT used the assessments to develop a series of concepts. These concepts were screened for feasibility and how each met the studies' objectives. The concepts were then packaged into near-term ( $0-6$ years) and mid-range (6-15 years) implementation time frames with planninglevel cost estimates. Concepts that were either deemed infeasible due to high costs, low benefit, or an inability to fund them in the future, were dismissed. The concept development in this study incorporated appropriate near-term (0-6 year) Transportation System Management and Operations (TSMO) strategies to address existing performance gaps at key SR 202 intersections and segments.

### 3.0 Community engagement

### 3.1 Community engagement approach

Community engagement is a key component of corridor planning at WSDOT because it helps define performance issues by providing opportunities for the communities to express their concerns and values. WSDOT uses this community feedback when assessing which multimodal improvement concepts should be advanced for future consideration. This section describes the overall approach and methodology for community engagement used in this study.

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, all public involvement was conducted virtually through online meetings, a study website, and virtual town-hall meetings. Given that the two segments of SR 202 being analyzed are contiguous, it was determined the community engagement would be conducted in a joint (consolidated) fashion for both phases of the study, owing to limited staff resources and budget.

### 3.2 Virtual engagement

### 3.2.1 Study webpage

WSDOT created a study webpage to provide information and updates on the studies' progress. Supporting data and the completed study will also be housed on the webpage.

Contact information for the corridor studies manager and Region Traffic Engineer were also provided on the webpage.

### 3.2.2 Online open house

A key component of the community engagement was the development of an Online Open House in lieu of live, in-person study open house.

The open house presented information establishing the study purpose and existing conditions (traffic volumes, safety information, facility geometry, etc.) before WSDOT solicited public comment and concerns about both phases of the study. The multi-page open house allowed participants to scroll a variety of topics including maps, summaries of existing corridor conditions, and 5-year crash data on SR 202 before they were provided a link to the (joint) study web-survey.

There were 765 unique visits to the SR 202 corridor studies online open house during the open house, which was live for the first three weeks of December 2020. Additional information on the SR 202 Corridor Studies online open house can be found in Appendix \#2.

### 3.2.3 Online survey

An online survey was developed to identify community priorities. The survey consisted of 20 questions seeking information about needs, issues, and user concerns for the two SR 202 Corridor Study segments rural and urban (Fall City).

The online survey was open during the first three weeks of December 2020. There were 724 individual completed survey responses during the three-week period. Most of the respondents identified key issues on SR 202 within Fall City related to parking, safety, pedestrian connectivity/safety, and the Preston/Fall City Road Southeast intersection in Fall City. The survey takers also submitted 306 individual comments. The majority of the individual comments related to the key issues and many also expressed concerns about the Preston/Fall City Road Southeast intersection. A detailed summary of the SR 202 Corridor Studies survey results is provided in Appendix \#2.

### 3.2.4 Fall City Community Association meetings

The Fall City Community Association was identified early on as a stakeholder because the section of SR 202 that operates within Fall City, from the intersection of 324th Avenue SE to the intersection of SR 202 with SR 203/Tolt Hill Road, serves as a main street for the community.

Fall City, with a population of approximately 5,000 , is a Census-designated place and does not have a formal city government. However, it does have an active community organization in the form of the Fall City Community Association (FCCA). The group_holds online meetings the first Tuesday evening of the month. WSDOT study staff participated in four FCCA meetings to provide study updates (Dec. 1, 2020; Feb. 2, 2021; March 2, 2021; and Jan. 4, 2022). Copies of these FCCA community meeting briefings are provided in the Appendix \#2.

Members of the Fall City Community Association and Fall City Metropolitan Parks District approached WSDOT late in the study process to suggest the consideration of a roundabout concept at the SR 202 / 334th Avenue Southeast/Southeast 42nd Place intersection. WSDOT is supportive of a roundabout on the west end of SR 202 in Fall City, although this will require
further analysis and study. Additional coordination and study with the King County Roads Division will be required to determine the feasibility of a roundabout at this intersection.

### 3.3 Tribal coordination

Tribal coordination is a key component of engagement at WSDOT. For the SR 202 Corridor Studies, WSDOT staff reached out to five area tribes with an offer to participate in the SR 202 Corridor Studies. These five tribes were: Muckleshoot Indian Tribe; Snoqualmie Indian Tribe; Stillaguamish Tribe of Indians, The Tulalip Tribes and Yakama Nation. None of them responded to the WSDOT invitation letters.

Copies of these invitation letters are included in Appendix B.

### 3.4 Stakeholder engagement

Early in the study scoping process, the WSDOT sought out key community members and potential study partners who might have an interest in these studies and/or could be affected by issues/needs identified in these studies, The following were identified and contacted to participate in either the formal stakeholder group or to provide consultation or feedback:

- King County Department of Local Services
- King County Metro
- King County Roads
- Fall City Community Association
- Muckleshoot Indian Tribe
- Snoqualmie Indian Tribe
- Stillaguamish Tribe of Indians
- The Tulalip Tribes
- Yakama Nation
- Washington State Patrol
- Area emergency medical service providers (Fall City Fire District

Number 27)

- Fall City community organizations
- Business owners
- Area chambers of commerce
- Bicycle and pedestrian groups
- Snoqualmie Valley School District
- Fall City Metropolitan Park District


### 4.0 Technical analysis and recommended improvement concepts

### 4.1 244th Avenue Northeast to 324th Avenue Southeast (Rural)

SR 202 between 244th Avenue Northeast and 324th Avenue Southeast is a rural, two-lane, high-speed ( 55 mph posted) state highway. The focus of this SR 202 study was safety performance at the key intersections that experienced crashes in the last five year: Ames Lake Road Northeast, Tolt Hill Road, Southeast 8th Street, 264th Avenue Southeast, 308th Avenue Southeast, and 324th Avenue Southeast in Fall City.


Figure 4.1.1 - SR 202 intersections at Ames Lake Road \& Tolt Hill Road (mp 13.83 \& 15.50-60.)

### 4.1.1 Safety strategies and concept development

Upon the completion of the needs assessment for the Rural Segment, WSDOT proceeded to identify and consider potential improvement concepts that could address identified safety, operational, and multimodal performance gaps. WSDOT is guided by the strategies and recommendations in Target Zero, the state's Strategic Highway Safety Plan. Target Zero's goal is to reduce the number of deaths and serious injuries on Washington's roadways to zero by year 2030.

Almost half of all fatal or serious injury intersection-related crashes in the study area involve an angle crash. This type of crash involves a vehicle being struck in a T-bone style crash, while either turning left in front of oncoming traffic (one-third of fatal or serious injury angle crashes)
or by entering SR 202 from a side street and pulling out in front of oncoming traffic (two-thirds of angle crashes).

One effective countermeasure identified in the Target Zero strategies is a roundabout. During the performance evaluation step, several intersections were identified as potential candidates for roundabouts in lieu of the current intersection configuration. Beyond being a proven countermeasure for reducing intersection-related fatal and serious injury crashes overall, roundabouts are especially effective at reducing angle crashes. They create a low-speed environment and channel vehicles, which almost entirely eliminates angle crashes as drivers cannot "run" a roundabout like they do a red light or a stop sign. In addition, there are no leftturn movements at a roundabout. There are already more than 400 roundabouts at intersections throughout Washington.

Another intersection safety countermeasure identified in Target Zero is to improve intersection visibility which was clearly identified as a need for several of the major intersections. Improved intersection visibility starts with roadway lighting and markings. However, many of the nighttime intersection crashes occur at lighted intersections. Additional visibility amendments and driver recognition of vehicles moving through an intersection is also needed, especially to help combat distracted driving. These include upgraded signing, targeted lighting, and delineation such as reflective markings on signals and on signposts.

WSDOT reviewed the crash history of intersections between Northeast 244th Street and 324th Avenue Southeast in Fall City and applied these Target Zero strategies to key intersections. There were several key intersections on SR 202 west of Fall City, including Southeast 8th Street, Southeast 40th Street and 324th Avenue Southeast that were not considered for full roundabout treatment because they lack a documented crash history. However, these intersections should be further analyzed with updated crash data to determine if a roundabout treatment would be the appropriate future improvement strategy.

### 4.1.2 Corridor assessment

WSDOT assessed multimodal, safety and environmental performance between 244th Avenue Northeast and 324th Avenue Southeast based on scoping and partner engagement. The key intersections Northeast Ames Lake Road (milepost 13.83) and Northeast Tolt Hill Road (milepost 15.50 - 15.60), both of which have a history of crashes in the five-year safety analysis. The
entire corridor was evaluated for safety performance gaps which highlighted the additional intersections noted in the following.

Northeast Ames Lake Road Intersection (Milepost 13.83)


Figure 4.1.2 - Northeast Ames Lake Road Intersection overview

The intersection of SR 202 at Northeast Ames Lake Road is a T-intersection within the 55 MPH speed zone. The eastbound direction of SR 202 has a left turn lane for drivers turning onto Northeast Ames Lake Road. There is east-west commuting traffic between Fall City and Redmond during the peak periods. Turning traffic to and from Northeast Ames Lake Road can experience delays waiting for gaps during the peak commute hours.

In the five-year crash history from 2015 to 2019 there were 11 total crashes recorded at this intersection. Two crashes in the vicinity of the intersection resulted in fatalities, but neither was related to intersection turning movement. Six of the collisions were recorded angle crashes, four
of which resulted in injuries. In There were also two rear-end, one animal-related, one "T-bone" and one same direction-miscellaneous crash.

A single-lane roundabout was identified as the recommended improvement strategy at this intersection for both the safety performance benefits and to maintain operational capacity for the SR 202 mainline. Any bicycle and pedestrian facilities required to meet Washington state legislation in RCW 47.24 requiring "complete streets" features will be identified during the Complete Streets project design process.

Northeast Tolt Hill Road Intersection (Milepost 15.50-15.60)


Figure 4.1.3 - Northeast Tolt Hill Road intersection overview

The intersection of SR 202 with Northeast Tolt Hill Road is within the 55 MPH speed zone and has two connections with separate legs as it terminates at SR 202. The unique configuration is due to a hill and elevated terrain profiles on the north side of SR 202.

The eastern connection handles traffic heading to and from the east and has recorded five crashes involving southbound Northeast Tolt Hill Road traffic making a left turn versus westbound SR 202 traffic continuing straight.

The western connection handles the majority of the traffic heading to and from the west. No dedicated turn lanes are present, so eastbound traffic turning left must stop in lane to yield to westbound traffic. The absence of a turn lane results in some delay during peak conditions. There were two recorded rear-end crashes involving eastbound traffic at the western connection. Field observations noted numerous skid marks in the eastbound lane approaching the western connection as well. There have been eight recorded rear-end crashes on southbound Northeast Tolt Hill Road at or approaching the connection with westbound SR 202. In total, there were 26 crashes in the Northeast Tolt Hill Road intersection vicinity. Eleven were rear-end, seven angle, and two "T-bone" crashes, accounting for 20 of the 26 crashes. Also recorded were four fixed object, one pedal cycle, and one same direction-miscellaneous crash. There have been 12 recorded injury crashes, but no fatal crashes in the five-year crash history, 2015 to 2019.

Similar to the Northeast Ames Lake Road intersection, a single-lane roundabout was identified as the recommended strategy for the safety performance benefits and for maintaining operational capacity for mainline SR 202.

Any bicycle and pedestrian facilities required to meet Washington state legislation in RCW 47.24 requiring "complete streets" features will be identified during the Complete Streets project design process.

To enhance the intersection in the near-term, a centerline striping treatment and additional signing will be implemented. The treatment will also augment driver awareness approaching the intersection.

## 264th Avenue Northeast (Milepost 14.45)

The intersection of 264th Avenue Northeast is a high-angle, skewed-intersection connection to SR 202 within the rural 55 MPH zone. The intersection serves a handful of residences, and the safety analysis did not yield a crash history; however, the current configuration warrants further investigation to address community concerns

## Southeast 8th Street (Milepost 17.21)

Southeast 8th Street is a T-intersection within the rural 55 MPH zone that is stop controlled and serves residential, school bus and recreational vehicle traffic. The intersection has nine recorded crashes, including five injury crashes. The crashes consist of four rear-end, two fixed object, one angle crash, one same-direction miscellaneous, and one sideswipe.

To enhance the intersection in the near-term, a centerline striping treatment and additional signing will be implemented. The treatment will augment driver awareness approaching the intersection. WSDOT will continue to monitor this intersection after the treatment is applied.

## Southeast 31st Street (Milepost 19.06)

The intersection of Southeast 31st Street with SR 202 is a skewed T-intersection connection with stop control on Southeast 31st Street. The intersection serves a handful of residences and is an alternate connector to 308th Avenue Southeast. The current skewed configuration warrants further investigation to address identified community concerns.

## 308th Avenue Southeast (Milepost 19.45)

The 308th Avenue Southeast intersection is a four-leg intersection within the rural 55 MPH zone. The north and south legs of this intersection are stop controlled. The northwest quadrant hosts baseball fields and parking along the north side of SR 202 that is separated from traffic by shoulder and concrete curbing. WSDOT installed Flashing Beacon Warning signs at this intersection in early 2021 to improve intersection safety.

There have been 10 recorded crashes at the intersection, five of which resulted in an injury. There were three angle, three fixed object, two same direction-miscellaneous, one opposite direction, and one rear-end crash.

To enhance the safe operation of this intersection in the near-term, a centerline striping treatment and additional signing will be implemented. The treatment will augment driver awareness approaching the intersection. WSDOT will continue to monitor this intersection after the treatment is applied.

### 4.1.3 Planning-level cost estimates

WSDOT developed planning-level cost estimates for the recommendations. This is a preliminary estimate of costs based on minimal or no design; a summary level of quantities and materials and minimal environmental retrofit or repair needed for each given strategy/concept.

Summarized below are the planning-level cost-estimates for proposed improvements on SR 202 west of Fall City in unincorporated King County:

- NE Ames Lake Road: $\$ 7.3$ million.
- NE Tolt Hill Rd: \$9.7 million.
- Centerline treatment with signing and striping will be implemented as lower-cost treatments.

Specific details regarding the planning-level cost-estimates can be found in Appendix One of this final report.

### 4.2 Fall City

SR 202 enters Fall City from the west as the speed limit transitions from 55 MPH to 45 MPH just west of Southeast 40th Street. Community feedback indicated concerns about the intersection of 324th Avenue SE which is located within the 45 MPH transition zone. This intersection is adjacent to current residential development activity. Chief Kanim Middle School is also situated within the area. WSDOT's assessments indicated a need to better facilitate turning movements to and from the school and to reduce the school zone speed limit to 30 MPH at the beginning and end of the school day.

Just west of 332nd Avenue Southeast, the speed limit changes to 30 MPH as the character of the roadway changes to a more residential area with homes and businesses. Fall City Elementary School is near to the 334th Avenue SE and 42nd Place SE intersections and this intersection is currently configured with two separate approach legs that provide a 'wye'-connection to SR 202. Reducing crash potential was the focus in the business district, as WSDOT assessed pedestrian crossing/connectivity, safe vehicle operations, parking issues, and the role of SR 202 as the "main street." The Snoqualmie River, and parks on the north side of SR 202 are attractions for summertime visitors and river recreators and were highlighted by the community as areas that need to be assessed.


Figure 4.2.1 - Overview of SR 202 in Fall City

Another specific location of concern within Fall City is the intersection of SR 202 and PrestonFall City Road Southeast immediately south of the SR 202 crossing of the Snoqualmie River. It is currently a ' $T$ ' intersection, with Preston-Fall City Road terminating at the intersection. WSDOT heard the public believes this intersection has a number of operational and design challenges and highlighted it as a key area of concern.


Figure 4.2.2. SR 202 - Preston-Fall City Road I/S in Fall City

In 2016, WSDOT developed a preliminary design for a full roundabout at this intersection to compliment the roundabout at SR 203 on the north side of the Snoqualmie River. However, this area is constrained by businesses on the east and west side making a roundabout infeasible due to extensive cost and right of way impacts. Numerous public comments from the community survey highlighted concerns about the present function and operation of this intersection and there were several responses in support of a roundabout here. As described in section 4.2.4, a compact roundabout was identified as the most promising improvement concept to address the needs at Preston - Fall City Road.

### 4.2.1 Western Segment of Fall City between 324th Avenue SE and 332nd Place SE

One of the future landmark features in this segment is the planning for the West Side Trail. The planning work for the West Side Trail began well over 20 years with an established
advisory group identified concerns related to safe pedestrian and bicycle access along the increasingly congested SR 202.

This community-led effort evolved to the point where the Fall City Metropolitan Park District (FCMPD) is now proposing the development of a separated shared-use path along the south side of SR 202 in Fall City. The shared-use path would start at the southwest quadrant of the 42nd Street Southeast/334th Avenue SE intersection and would continue west along the south side of SR 202 for approximately one mile to 324th Avenue Southeast/Southeast River Road.

The shared-use path and associated intersection improvements will reduce the level of traffic stress and will increase community connectivity, mobility, and accessibility. Separated pedestrian and bicycle access in the SR 202 corridor will link neighborhoods with important community destinations such as schools, parks, the library, shopping and restaurants in Fall City, and other places. Further information on the West Side Trail project can be found on the project website. The following graphic, from the West Side Trail Improvement Project Final Report, shows the scope and geographic limits of the West Side Trail in Fall City.


Figure 4.2.3. Westside Trail in Fall City (https://www.fallcityparks.org/west-side-trail.html)

At the west end of the segment, WSDOT is continuing to investigate additional intersection strategies for the 324th Avenue Southeast intersection. The community is anticipating an increase in active transportation as a result of the improvements, and the addition of several new housing developments nearby.

Since 324th Avenue Southeast serves as a gateway to Fall City and is near to Chief Kanim Middle School, WSDOT will lead future investigations into strategies to evolve the driving environment by assisting with driver movement to and from the school, encourage lower operating speeds and support future trail users.

### 4.2.2 332nd Place SE to Preston-Fall City Road and the Fall City Business District

The SR 202 corridor transitions to a main street in the vicinity of 334th Place Southeast and Southeast 42nd Place. The vicinity features business driveways along the north side of the highway and hosts the Fall City Elementary School and Fall City Library along the south side. Continuing east on SR 202, the north side transitions to parks and landscape features along the Snoqualmie River waterfront. The south side has businesses, restaurants, and markets with front-in angle parking for visitors and patrons.

The segment of SR 202 within downtown Fall City has additional considerations such as angled parking, intensive community and commercial land-use, and greater frequency of access points to SR 202. Due to the extra intricacies of this segment, additional concepts were developed and evaluated. A screening process with input from stakeholders was utilized to identify a preferred alternative for this segment.

WSDOT conducted an initial brainstorming effort among project staff whereby various concepts were identified, considered, and applied to these key categories
(safety/operations/multimodal/active transportation access/environmental) for mid-term implementation in the business area.

The concepts considered during the initial evaluation and development process were specifically tied to key study objectives of safety, operations, multimodal access, and environmental. None of the concepts identified during this process were specifically focused on capacity improvements as these are longer term improvement concepts beyond the scope of this study. Recommended concepts proceeded into a two-stage (Level I/II) screening process.

The concepts identified and developed are summarized below in Table 4.2.1.

| \# | Business Parking | River Parking | \# of Parking spots | Lane Width (ft) | Median | Riverfront Sidewalk (ft) | Business front sidewalk | Bike facility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Back-in | Parallel | High | 10.5 | Rolled <br> curb | 14-foot <br> shareduse path | 7 | Shareduse path |
| 2 | Angled | N/A | Medium | 10.5 | N/A | 11-foot sidewalk | 6 | N/A |
| 3 | Parallel | Parallel | Low | 11 | 8-foot <br> planter | 6-foot <br> sidewalk | 6 | 5-foot bike lane |
| 4 | Parallel | Parallel | Low | 11 | 3-foot median | 13-foot <br> shared- <br> use path | 7 | 5-foot <br> bike lane |
| 5 | Back-in | Parallel | High | 10.5 | Stripe | 10-foot sidewalk | 10 | N/A |
| 6 | Parallel | Parallel | Low | 10.5 | 3-foot median | 8-foot <br> sidewalk | 8 | Protected bike lanes along river |
| 7 | Angled | N/A | Low | 12 | Stripe | 8-foot sidewalk | 6 | N/A |
| 8 | Parallel | Parallel | Low | 11 | Stripe | 6-foot <br> sidewalk | 7 | Buffered bike lanes |

Table 4.2.1 - Improvement Concepts analyzed for SR 202 in Fall City
For the section of SR 202 west of the business district, the focus was on lower-cost, near-term strategies related to intersection visibility and speed management. The western gateway of Fall City is a transitional speed zone where the speed limit changes from 55 to 45 to 30 MPH. The Chief Kanim Middle School, a residential neighborhood and a future Westside Trail and housing developments are in the 45 MPH speed segment of SR 202.

### 4.2.3 Performance screening metrics

WSDOT developed a set of performance metrics for a detailed screening of near and mid-term improvement concepts. As a result of that initial consideration and assessment, a draft set of evaluation criteria was developed and applied as follows:

- Safety (consistency with Target Zero)
- Accessibility (pedestrian connectivity, access to transit)
- Constructability (cost, technical feasibility, etc.)
- Community Support (including preserving community character)

The screening process was structured in two levels, with a levell screening process being a qualitative process where project staff considered near and mid-term potential improvement concepts in terms of how they might address needs and/or deficiencies in qualitative terms, without the benefit of any detailed performance analysis or data.

A level II screening process was subsequently developed and applied to the remaining improvement concepts to be screened in a more detailed and quantitative manner. As part of this level II screening process, evaluation and performance screening criteria were developed for the key (above) categories and a basic scoring range (1-5) was established for each performance metric. Where available, data was collected and applied for each improvement concept. In some cases, qualitative judgement was applied in absence of available data.

### 4.2.4 Intersection-Level Assessment

324th Avenue Southeast


Figure 4.2.4 - SR 202 Intersection with 324th Avenue Southeast

The intersection of 324th Avenue Southeast is within the 45 MPH speed transition zone from rural King County into Fall City. The north and south legs of this intersection are stop controlled. The study survey and stakeholder engagement highlighted this intersection as a regular route for cyclists across SR 202. In addition, residential development is ongoing south of the intersection. The intersection serves as the western terminus of the West Side Trail.

Two non-injury crashes were reported at the intersection: one involving an animal and one a rear-end collision. The intersection warrants additional investigation due to the active transportation use and the gateway characteristic of the intersection.


Figure 4.2.5 - SR 202 Intersection with 334th Place Southeast/Southeast 42nd Street in Fall City

The intersection of 334th Place Southeast and Southeast 42nd Street consists of two separate Y-connections with 334th Place Southeast connecting to the north and Southeast 42nd Street connecting to the south. Both are within a 30-mph speed zone, between these two approach streets is a landscaped area with a totem pole. There was one recorded angle crash in the vicinity of the two intersections; however, it was related to traffic exiting the driveway on the north side of SR 202.

334th Place Southeast connects to SR 202 at a low angle; in other words, eastbound SR 202 traffic turning to 334th Place Southeast can maintain a higher speed compared to a more traditional right-angle intersection. The Metropolitan Park District's future West Side Trail project will be a separated shared path constructed parallel to SR 202. This community-
supported trail will facilitate the movement of active transportation users, bringing more pedestrians and bikes to the library and other attractions around these intersections. The angle of the 334th Place Southeast and the complexity of the intersection adjacent to the library increase the level of stress for active transportation users.

To manage speed and reduce the level of traffic stress for active transportations users, reconfiguration into a single T-intersection is recommended. A right-angle connection with SR 202 will reduce the speed of turning traffic and simplify the pedestrian crossing between the future trail and the library.

334th Place Southeast and Southeast 42nd Place are King County streets and a primary access to Fall City Elementary School. As the concept moves forward, the recommended strategy will need to be closely coordinated with both partners.

Late in the study process, a roundabout concept was proposed by community members at 334th Place Southeast and SE 42nd Street. A roundabout could serve as a western compliment to the recommended roundabout at the Preston-Fall City Road intersection and facilitate westbound U-turns to eastbound parking in the downtown core. This concept can and should be explored further outside of this study. Coordination and consultation with the King Roads Division will also be required as part of this process.

Preston-Fall City Road


Figure 4.2.6-SR 202 Intersection with Preston-Fall City Road in Fall City

Preston-Fall City Road is a T-intersection within a 30 MPH speed zone. This intersection is the focal point within Fall City, experiencing traffic demand between Redmond, Carnation, Snoqualmie, Fall City, and I-90/Preston. As a result, peak periods can experience heavy congestion with delays to traffic on Preston-Fall City Road, which is controlled with a stop sign. Weekends during the summer can also experience heavy traffic conditions with the tourists coming to the area to access the Snoqualmie River and downtown businesses.

There have been 16 recorded crashes at the Preston-Fall City Road intersection, of which five were injury-related crashes. The predominant crash types involved turning vehicles with six angle crashes and three "T-bone" crashes. In addition, there were three fixed object, one rearend, one parking related, one pedestrian, and one sideswipe crash.

A compact roundabout was identified as the recommended strategy for Preston-Fall City Rd. It would serve as a compliment to the existing roundabout at the intersection of SR 202 and SR 203. A roundabout will also reduce queues and delay on Preston-Fall City Rd. Roundabouts are a strategy for speed reduction, which is an emphasis for the multimodal environment and active transportation use at this intersection. The roundabout also enables the introduction of marked pedestrian crossings at the intersection, which will facilitate the movement of pedestrians between recreational and downtown attractions. The specific bicycle and pedestrian facilities required to meet Washington state legislation in RCW 47.24 requiring "complete streets" features will be identified during the Complete Streets project design process.

### 4.2.5 Planning-level cost estimates

A key action in finalizing the improvement concepts was to develop planning-level cost estimates for these projects. Development of these planning-level cost-estimates was an iterative process. The planning level cost estimates were developed using WSDOT's Planning-Level-Cost Estimation Tool (PLCE) and represent a preliminary estimate of costs based on minimal or no design and a summary level of quantities, materials, and minimal environmental retrofit or repair needed for a given strategy/concept. The cost estimates for the Fall City improvement strategies are as follows:

- Roundabout at Preston-Fall City Road and central Fall City improvements (separated Pedestrian/Bike lane on the north side, parking/striping/geometry improvements, etc.): \$10.4M.
- 324th Avenue Southeast intersection: signing and striping will be implemented as lowercost treatments.


### 4.2.6 Final concept screening

After developing planning-level cost estimates for the improvement concepts in Fall City, the strategies went through a final screening to confirm consistency with key study objectives (safety, non-motorized access, multimodal connectivity, and relative environmental impact).

A basic one-through-five scoring rubric was developed to quantitatively assess each improvement concept based upon these key screening criteria, where a score of "one" would be a "negative" (makes the condition/need worse), two would be "poor," three "neutral," four
equates to some (positive) improvements, and a score of five would greatly improve and achieve criteria objectives.

Other factors that were considered in the concept screening for downtown concepts included the following:

- Parking.
- Bike facilities.
- Pedestrian facilities.

The final concept-screening concluded with a shared-use path along the river for both pedestrians and cyclists, while maintaining the maximum number of parking spaces with parallel parking on the river side and back-in angle parking adjacent to the businesses.

Figure 4.2.7 below shows the recommended concepts for the SR 202 corridor in Fall City and to the west of Fall City.


Figure 4.2.7 - SR 202 Recommended Improvement Concepts in Fall City and west of Fall City

### 5.0 Recommendations and implementation

### 5.1 Concept packages

The recommended concepts reflect the limited and targeted focus of this study, namely consideration and evaluation of near and mid-term ( $0-12$ years) recommendations. As noted below, none of the recommended improvement concepts have any funding secured for implementation.

Also, as the cost estimates for the recommended concepts are at a 'planning-level' of analysis (no design) and are in current-year (2020) dollars, the cost-estimates will need to be revised and updated as these recommended concepts proceed into design and project implementation. It is quite likely that some, if not all of these cost estimates could increase during further project design and implementation.

### 5.2 Implementation costs and timeframe

WSDOT will consider strategies identified when making determinations on capital improvements within its project development processes. WSDOT may also be directed to fund strategies or portions of strategies in this plan by the Washington State Legislature. As funding becomes available to further develop the strategies, WSDOT will initiate formal environmental review. WSDOT has maintained the majority of this section of SR 202 in fair or better condition; and the study recommendations assume that WSDOT and its partners will continue to maintain and preserve the transportation system in a state of good repair so that roadway operations and capacity will be maintained.

Although phasing of the recommended improvement concepts was not identified as part of these improvement concepts, subsequent implementation might consider phasing of options, given the cost and complexity of the various recommended improvements. For example, the reconfiguration of the intersection of SR 202 with SE 42nd Street and Southeast 334th Place will require ongoing coordination with King County (Library/Metro Transit Stop/Fire District \#27) and the Snoqualmie Valley School District (Fall City Elementary School), and the cost and design of this concept will likely entail further revisions.

The central Fall City improvement on SR 202 (Preston-Fall City Road intersection compact roundabout and the north side pedestrian and bicycle lane) will also involve ongoing community and partner coordination, and the design and cost estimate will evolve as this coordination proceeds into implementation. Phasing of this concept into several components will likely be necessary to match available funding and agency/staff capacity to oversee and insure implementation.

### 5.3 Responsibilities and next steps

WSDOT will work with local stakeholders to incorporate study findings and recommendations into local/regional plans where appropriate. The next update to local and regional plans presents an opportunity to incorporate this study's recommendations.

Additional funding is needed for design and construction of the recommended strategies, and those funding opportunities will need to be pursued in 2022 and in years beyond. The near-term, immediate funding needs are to complete design for key study strategies such as the compact roundabout improvement at the Preston - Fall City Road intersection in Fall City, the separated pedestrian-bike lane on the north side of SR 202 in Fall City, and the Southeast 42nd Street/334th Place intersection improvements in Fall City. The recommendations for roundabouts at Northeast Ames Lake Road and the Northeast Tolt Hill Road are also important priorities going forward and could be considered in WSDOT's priority programming, However it is important to note the proposed roundabouts at these two intersections, as well as the proposed compact roundabout at Preston-Fall City Road in Fall City will require the completion of an Intersection Control Evaluation (ICE) study during project design. Likewise, a future roundabout at the South 334th / Southeast $42^{\text {nd }}$ Place intersection with SR 202 will also need to conduct an ICE analysis during the project design phase.
An ICE analysis is recommended Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) guidance when major changes to intersection design or operation are proposed and are addressed in WSDOT policy guidance and direction in our Design Manual.
Grant funding for design, project development and construction will likely be a multi-year process. There are several potential regional, state, and federal funding sources that should be considered when considering implementation of the recommended concepts from this study.

## Transportation Systems Management and Operations (TSMO)

WSDOT and local stakeholders should continue to consider and pursue appropriate near-term Transportation System Management and Operations (TSMO) strategies to address immediate performance gaps and safety needs at key intersections in the SR 202 study area. These TSMO strategies should focus on striping, channelization, additional illumination, other TSMO components as appropriate. The effort to seek funding the roundabout concepts at Northeast Ames Lake Road and Northeast Tolt Hill Road will be a multi-year process. Therefore, in the
interim, appropriate immediate TSMO strategies, if applicable, should be considered for these intersections.

TSMO strategies such as striping, channelization improvements, and illumination should also be considered and pursued as appropriate for the intersections of SR 202 and 264th Avenue Southeast, SR 202 and Southeast 8th Street, and SR 202 at 324th Avenue Southeast/West River Road.

Grant funding opportunities to be considered for concept recommendations from this study are as follows:

## Puget Sound Regional Council

The Puget Sound Regional Council (PSRC) as the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) and Regional Transportation Planning Organization (RTPO) for the four-county central Puget Sound Region is responsible for distributing federal funds through several different grant funding programs. The PSRC has multiple funding cycles for different programs, including the federal FHWA and FTA programs.

- The Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP).
- The Rural Town Centers and Corridors (RTCC) program.
- The Special Needs Transportation program.

These grant programs operate on different two-year cycles. Several of these grant programs have concluded their 2022 call-for-projects, but these grant programs could be potential candidates for future SR 202 corridor project applications. The next opportunity to seek further PSRC funding for SR 202 corridor strategies recommended in this report will be Spring 2024.

## Washington State Department of Transportation

WSDOT, though its Active Transportation Division (ATD), manages the distribution of state funds for two nonmotorized focused programs, the Pedestrian -Bicycle Program (PBP) and the Safe Routes-School (SRTS) program. These programs are focused on improving pedestrian and bicycle safety on transportation facilities and making biking and walking to school safer and more appealing. These two programs currently have calls out to develop a list of eligible projects for legislative consideration and funding in the 2023-2025 biennium. As applications are due for
these two programs in June of 2022, it will not be possible to seek and obtain funding in the current cycle of these two programs this biennium.

## Federal funding

Rebuilding American Infrastructure with Sustainability and Equity (RAISE) Grant: RAISE is a discretionary grant program that provides funding for projects with significant local or regional impacts. Both planning projects and capital projects are eligible for funding. Per the most recent Notice of Funding Opportunity (2022), USDOT prioritizes projects that reduce greenhouse gas emissions, address environmental justice, proactively address racial equity and barriers to opportunity, and supports the creation of good-paying job. RAISE projects require a 20 percent non-federal match unless the project is located in a rural area, or an area identified by USDOT as an Area of Persistent Poverty or Historically Disadvantaged Community. USDOT solicits applications annually, with the application deadline typically in April and awards typically announced in August.

## Appendix A:

## SR 202 Corridor Safety Evaluation, Design Evaluation Summary (Street-Mix), and Concepts Cost-Estimates
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## Background

SR 202 is a rural high-speed roadway that travels East - West in King County. SR 202 between $244^{\text {th }}$ Ave NE and SR 203 has been identified for a high level assessment of multimodal, access, safety and environmental needs.

Between $244^{\text {th }}$ Ave NE and $324^{\text {th }}$ Ave SE, intersections along the corridor such as Ames Lake Road and NE Tolt Hill Road have been highlighted with a history of injury crashes. East of the $324^{\text {th }}$ Ave SE intersection has SR 202 passing through Fall City before crossing Snoqualmie River and continuing East. This area is popular with visitors going tubing the river and visiting restaurants and cafes in Fall City. The north side of SR 202 lacks separated pedestrian facilities; a jersey barrier is present on the west side of the bridge heading North over the Snoqualmie River, transitioning to an at-grade pedestrian path indicated by pavement markings.

This study reviews the crashes occurring on SR 202 between $244^{\text {th }}$ Ave NE intersection and SR 203 roundabout (MP 13.00-21.84).

## Abbreviations

A list of abbreviations used throughout the report are

| AC = entering at angle crash | PDO = property damage only/no apparent |
| :--- | :--- |
| injury |  |
| CC = contributing circumstances | $\mathrm{PI}=$ possible injury |
| DNG R/W = did not grant right-of-way | $\mathrm{RA}=$ roundabout |
| EB = eastbound | RE = rear-end crash |
| EI = evident injury/suspected minor injury | TOTR = run-off-the-road |
| FO = fixed object | RT = right |
| I/S = intersection | SD - misc. = same dir. - misc. |
| LT = left | SB = southbound |
| MC = motorcycle | SI = serious injury/suspected serious injury |
| MP = milepost | SG = sign |
| NB = northbound | UB = utility box |
| OD = opposite direction | UP = utility pole |
| ODLT = opposite direction 1 LT-1 STR | WB = westbound |
| Ped: pedestrian |  |

## Safety Study (HAL/HAC/CAL/CAC/ISIP/FA) History

Table 1 below shows all locations that have been reviewed as part of our safety program (HSIP) within the study corridor since 01/01/2010 to present.

Table 1. Safety Study History (01/01/2010 - 08/25/2020)

| Year | Type | Description | Begin <br> MP | End <br> MP |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 | IAL | Tolt Hill Rd | 15.48 | 15.58 |
| 2010 | IAL | $292^{\text {nd }}$ AVE | 18.25 | 18.25 |
| 2012 | CAL | NE Ames Lake Rd to $264^{\text {th }}$ Ave SE - R36 | 13.83 | 14.45 |
| 2012 | IAL | Preston-Fall City Rd | 21.70 | 21.70 |
| 2014 | CAL | $1 / 3$ Mi E of Tolt Hill Rd to $1 / 3$ Mi E of SE $8^{\text {th }}$ St (R40) | 16.04 | 17.53 |
| 2014 | CAL | Preston-Fall City Rd S I/S Vic (R2) | 21.71 | 21.74 |
| 2015 | ISIP | SR 202/SE 8 $8^{\text {th }}$ St. | 17.21 | 17.21 |
| 2015 | ISIP | SR202/308 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Ave NE | 19.45 | 19.45 |
| $2015-2016$ | FA | SR 202 MP 0.00-14.00 | 0.00 | 14.00 |
| 2016 | IAL | $308^{\text {th }}$ Ave SE | 19.45 | 19.48 |
| $2016-2017$ | FA | SR 202 MP 25.00-30.60 | 25.00 | 30.60 |
| $2017-2018$ | FA | SR 202 MP 14.00-25.00 | 14.00 | 25.00 |
| 2018 | IAL | Tolt Hill Rd | 15.40 | 15.70 |

## Crash Analysis

From 2015-2019, there were 226 total crashes that $38 \%$ of them were injury crashes. There were an additional 11 crashes in 2020.

Table 2. SR 202 MP 13.00-21.84 Crash Severities by Year

| Crash Severity | Year |  |  |  |  | Total |  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | Num. | Percentage |  |
| Fatal |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | $1 \%$ |  |
| Suspected Serious Injury | $\mathbf{2}$ | 1 |  |  |  | 3 | $1 \%$ |  |
| Suspected Minor Injury | 7 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 21 | $9 \%$ | 3 |
| Possible Injury | 14 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 15 | 59 | $26 \%$ | 3 |
| No Apparent Injury | 26 | 28 | 21 | 30 | 30 | 135 | $60 \%$ | 5 |
| Unknown |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5 | $2 \%$ |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ |

[^0]Table 3. SR 202 MP 13.00-21.84 Crash Types by Year

| Crash Type | Year |  |  |  |  | Total |  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | Num. | Percentage |  |
| Animal | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 11 | $5 \%$ |  |
| Entering at angle | 10 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 36 | $16 \%$ | 1 |
| Fixed object | 12 | 17 | 12 | 9 | 21 | 71 | $31 \%$ | 1 |
| Opp Dir 1LT-1STR | 7 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 13 | $6 \%$ | 3 |
| Opposite direction | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 12 | $5 \%$ |  |
| Overturn | 1 | 2 |  | 2 |  | 5 | $2 \%$ | 1 |
| Parking |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 3 | $1 \%$ |  |
| Pedalcycle | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | $1 \%$ |  |
| Pedestrian | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | $<1 \%$ |  |
| Rear-end | 8 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 16 | 54 | $\mathbf{2 4 \%}$ | 4 |
| Same Dir-Misc | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 10 | $4 \%$ | 1 |
| Sideswipe | 3 | 3 | 2 |  |  | 8 | $4 \%$ |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ |

Fatal and serious injury (SI) crash descriptions are as follows:

## Fatal Crashes:

1- MP 13.74 (07/16/2019-Tuesday - @ 04:47) OD - V1 was traveling WB in the EB lane and struck traveling EB V2 head-on. This crash occurred on wet surface and in dark- no street lights condition. CC- Other
2- MP 14.02 (06/25/2019 - Tuesday - @ 04:27) AC - V1 making Left-Turn (LT) from a driveway to SR 202 WB got struck by traveling EB V2. This crash occurred on dry surface and in dark- no street lights condition. CC- Improper Action
3- MP 17.61 (09/05/2018 - Wednesday - @ 11:39) OD - V1 was traveling EB on SR 202 WB crossed over the centerline into the path of V2 that was traveling WB and in opposite direction. V1 collided with V2 despite of the driver of V2's attempt to avoid the collision, then V1 struck the EB guardrail and caught fire. This crash occurred on dry surface and in daylight condition. CCOther

## SI Crashes:

1- MP 15.40 (02/01/2015 - Sunday - @ 12:30) Bike - Bicyclist and V1 were driving EB and V1 was behind the bicyclist. As V1 approached the bicyclist, failed to leave appropriate space for the bicyclist and the left mirror of V1 struck the bicyclist. This collision occurred on dry surface and in daylight condition. CC- Inattention
2- MP 19.99 (06/20/2016 - Monday - @ 17:45) FO - V1 was traveling WB; the driver swerved into the EB lane, then steered back into the WB lane. While trying to turn back into the correct lane, the driver overcorrected, lost control, crossed back across the EB lane, and collided with the ditch on south shoulder of EB SR 202. This crash occurred on dry surface and in daylight condition. CC- DUI
3- MP 21.71 (08/05/2015 - Wednesday - @ 18:57) Ped - V1 traveling NB on Preston-Fall City Rd in Left-Turn Lane (LTL) struck a pedestrian crossing the busy roadway at an unmarked location.

[^1]Pedestrian was described as being blind and was using a white cane. The collision occurred on dry surface and in daylight condition. CC- None


Figure 1. SR 202 MP 13.00-21.84 Crash Heat Map (01/01/2015-12/31/2019)

UNDER 23 U.S. CODE $\S 148$ AND 23 U.S. CODE $\S 409$, SAFETY DATA, REPORTS, SURVEYS, SCHEDULES, LISTS COMPLIED OR COLLECTED FOR THE PURPOSE OF IDENTIFYING, EVALUATING, OR PLANNING THE SAFETY ENHANCEMENT OF POTENTIAL CRASH SITES, HAZARDOUS ROADWAY CONDITIONS, OR RAILWAY-HIGHWAY CROSSINGS ARE NOT SUBJECT TO DISCOVERY OR ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE IN A FEDERAL OR STATE COURT PROCEEDING OR CONSIDERED FOR OTHER PURPOSES IN ANY ACTION FOR DAMAGES ARISING FROM ANY OCCURRENCE AT A LOCATION MENTIONED OR ADDRESSED IN SUCH REPORTS, SURVEYS, SCHEDUUES, USTS, OR DATA.

## Run-Off-the-Road (ROTR) Crashes

There were 76 ROTR (including fixed object (FO) and overturn (OT)) crashes on the study corridor from 01/01/2015 to 12/31/2019.

Table 4. SR 202 MP 13.00-21.84 ROTR Crashes by Severity and Year

| Crash Severity | Year |  |  |  |  | Total |  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | Num. | Percentage |  |
| Suspected Serious Injury |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | $1 \%$ |  |
| Suspected Minor Injury | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 7 | $9 \%$ |  |
| Possible Injury | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 9 | $12 \%$ | 1 |
| No Apparent Injury | 9 | 15 | 6 | 7 | 17 | 54 | $71 \%$ | 1 |
| Unknown |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5 | $\mathbf{7 \%}$ |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |



Figure 2. SR 202 MP 13.00-21.84 ROTR Crashes by Month (01/01/2015-12/31/2019)


Figure 3. SR 202 MP 13.00-21.84 ROTR Crashes by Day of Week (01/01/2015-12/31/2019)

[^2]Other ROTR crash characteristics are as follows:

- 41 (54\%) in either dark, dawn, or dusk
- 29 (38\%) on either wet or icy surface
- 17 (22\%) were intersection related crashes
- Contributing cause (CC): 14 ea. DUI and inattention, 13 speed, 6 driver distraction, 4 sleep/fatigue, 2 defective equip., and 1 ea. improper action \& illness/meds
- 6 (8\%) entering the mainline (SR 202) from the side streets
- 29 (38\%) b/w 2 PM and 7 PM


Figure 4. SR 202 MP 13.00-21.84 ROTR Crashes Heat Map (01/01/2015-12/31/2019)

## Opposite Direction (OD) Crashes

During last five years, from 01/01/2015 to 12/31/2019, 12 OD crashes occurred on SR 202 within the study corridor.

Table 5. SR 202 MP 13.00-21.84 OD Crashes by Severity and Year

| Crash Severity |  | Year |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | Num. | Percentage |
| Fatal |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | $17 \%$ |
| Possible Injury |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 5 | $42 \%$ |
| No Apparent Injury | 2 | 1 | 2 |  |  | 5 | $42 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |



Figure 5. SR 202 MP 13.00-21.84 OD Crashes by Month (01/01/2015-12/31/2019)


Figure 6. SR 202 MP 13.00-21.84 OD Crashes by Day of Week (01/01/2015-12/31/2019)

[^3]
## Crashes by Time of Day



Figure 7. SR 202 MP 13.00-21.84 OD Crashes by Time of Day (01/01/2015-12/31/2019)
Other ROTR crash characteristics are as follows:

- $3(25 \%)$ in either dark or dusk
- $8(67 \%)$ on either wet or icy surface
- Contributing cause: 4 speed, 2 inattention, 1 driver distraction, and 1 DUI


Figure 8. SR 202 MP 13.00-21.84 OD Crashes Heat Map (01/01/2015-12/31/2019)

## Non-Motorized Road User Crashes

A 5-year crash data, from 01/01/2015 to $12 / 31 / 2019$, shows that along the entire corridor, from $244^{\text {th }}$ Ave NE @ MP 13.00 to the end of the study corridor @ MP 21.84, 1 pedestrian crash and 2 bike crashes occurred on the study corridor. These Ped and Bike crashes are described below:

## Bike Crashes

1- SI @ MP 15.40 (02/01/2015 - Sunday - @ 12:30) Bike - Bicyclist and V1 were driving EB and V1 was behind the bicyclist. As V1 approached the bicyclist, failed to leave appropriate space for the bicyclist and the left mirror of V1 struck the bicyclist. This collision occurred on dry surface and in daylight condition. CC- Inattention
2- PI @ MP 21.68 (08/05/2015 - Wednesday - @ 14:17) Bike - Bicyclist was traveling WB on SR 202 in lane 1. An unknown vehicle left the north shoulder and crossed the WB lanes causing the bike to swerve and the rider to be ejected. This collision occurred on dry surface and in daylight condition. CC- Blank

## Pedestrian Crashes

1- SI @ MP 21.71 (08/05/2015 - Wednesday - @ 18:57) Ped - V1 traveling NB on Preston-Fall City Rd in Left-Turn Lane (LTL) struck a pedestrian crossing the busy roadway at an unmarked location. Pedestrian was described as being blind and was using a white cane. The collision occurred on dry surface and in daylight condition. CC- None

NE Ames Lake Rd I/S (MP 13.83)


Figure 9. SR 202 and NE Ames Lake Rd I/S

Table 6. SR 202 and NE Ames Lake Rd I/S Crash Severities by Year

| Crash Severity | Year |  |  |  | Total |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | Num. | Percentage |  |
| Suspected Minor Injury | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | $18 \%$ | 1 |
| Possible Injury | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | $27 \%$ |  |
| No Apparent Injury | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 2 | 6 | $55 \%$ |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |

Table 7. SR 202 and NE Ames Lake Rd I/S Crash Types by Year

| Crash Type | Year |  |  |  | Total |  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | Num. |  |  |
| Entering at angle | 2 |  |  | 2 | 2 | 6 | $55 \%$ |  |
| Rear-end |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | $18 \%$ | 1 |
| Animal | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | $9 \%$ |  |
| Opp Dir 1LT-1STR |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | $9 \%$ |  |
| Same Dir-Misc |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | $9 \%$ |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |

[^4]Other crash characteristics are as follows:

- $4(36 \%)$ in dark
- 4 (36\%) on wet surface
- CC: 3 inattention, 2 improper action, 2 DNG R/W, 2 speed, and 1 driver distraction
- 3 in October, 2 in March, and 1 ea. in Jan., May, July, Aug., Sept., Nov., and Dec.
- 4 on Wed., 3 on Mon., 2 ea. on Tues. and Thur., and 1 on Sun.
- All b/w 7 AM and 10 PM
o $2 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{w} 7 \mathrm{AM}$ and $8 \mathrm{AM}, 4 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{w} 9 \mathrm{AM}$ and $1 \mathrm{PM}, 4 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{w} 4 \mathrm{PM}$ and 6 PM , and $2 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{w} 8 \mathrm{PM}$ and 10 PM
- 6 AC
$0 \quad 1 \mathrm{El}$ and 3 PI
o 2 in dark
o 2 on wet surface
o CC: 2 inattention, 1 DNG R/W, and 1 improper action
o V1 vs V2 Dir.:
- 1 Entering from the right from a driveway to SR 202 WB (making LT) vs EB
- 4 Entering from NE Ames Lake Rd to SR 202 EB (making LT) vs WB
- 1 Entering from NE Ames Lake Rd to SR 202 WB (making RT) vs WB

0 All b/w 7 AM and 6 PM

- 3 b/w 4 PM and 6 PM

NE Tolt Hill Rd I/S (MP 15.50-15.60)


Figure 10. SR 202 and NE Tolt Hill Rd I/S

Table 8. SR 202 and NE Tolt Hill Rd I/S Crash Severities by Year

| Crash Severity | Year |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | Num. | Percentage |
| Suspected Serious Injury | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | $4 \%$ |
| Suspected Minor Injury | 1 | 2 |  |  |  | 3 | $12 \%$ |
| Possible Injury | 3 | 3 | 1 |  | 1 | 8 | $31 \%$ |
| No Apparent Injury | 2 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 13 | $50 \%$ |
| Unknown |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | $4 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

Table 9. SR 202 and NE Tolt Hill Rd I/S Crash Types by Year

| Crash Type | Year |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | Num. | Percentage |
| Rear-end | 1 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 11 | $42 \%$ |
| Entering at angle | 2 | 3 | 1 |  | 1 | 7 | $27 \%$ |
| Fixed object | 1 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 4 | $15 \%$ |
| Opp Dir 1LT-1STR | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $8 \%$ |
| Pedalcycle | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | $4 \%$ |
| Same-Dir-Misc |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | $4 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

[^5]Table 10. SR 202 and NE Tolt Hill Rd I/S Crash Types by the Related Junction (01/01/2015-12/31/2019)

| Crash Type | Tolt Hill Rd Wye <br> (MP 15.50) | Tolt Hill Rd I/S <br> (MP 15.60) | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entering at angle | $1^{*}$ | 6 | 7 |
| Fixed object | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Opp Dir 1LT-1STR | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Same Dir-Misc | $1^{* *}$ | 0 | 1 |
| Pedalcycle | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Rear-end $\quad 10$ | 1 | 10 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 6}$ |

* From PTCR narrative and the crash diagram this crash seems to be a RE crash (02/28/2015)
** From PTCR narrative and crash diagram the crash seems to be a RE crash (08/31/2016)


Figure 11. SR 202 and NE Tolt Hill Rd I/S Crashes by Month


Figure 12. SR 202 and NE Tolt Hill Rd I/S Crashes by Day of Week

[^6] MENTIONED OR ADDRESSED IN SUCH REPORTS, SURVEYS, SCHEDULES, LISTS, OR DATA.


Figure 13. SR 202 and NE Tolt Hill Rd I/S Crashes by Time of Day
Other crash characteristics are as follows:

- $6(23 \%)$ in either dark or dusk
- 10 (38\%) on either wet or icy surface
- CC: 8 inattention, 7 following, 2 speed, 1 DNG R/W, 1 improper action, 1 illness/meds, 1 sleep/fatigue, and 1 disregard control
- $\quad 11$ RE

02 El and 2 PI
o 1 in dark
o 5 on wet surface
o CC: 6 following, 4 inattention, and 1 speed
o V1\&V2 Dir

- 1 EB @ MP 15.48
- 6 entering for the left (from Tolt Hill Rd to SR 202 WB) \& 2 EB @ MP 15.50-15.52
- 1 EB @ MP 15.59, and
- 1 entering for the left (from Tolt Hill Rd to SR 202 EB) @ MP 15.60
o All b/w 8 AM and 5 PM
- 4 b/w 3 PM and 4 PM
- 7 AC

01 El and 3 PI
o 3 in either dark or dusk
o 2 on wet surface
o CC: 3 inattention, 1 DNG R/W, 1 improper action, 1 following, and 1 disregard control
o V1 vs V2 Dir.:

- 1 @ MP 15.50 both vehicles Entering from NE Tolt Hill Rd to SR 202 WB (making RT)
- 2 @ MP 15.59 Entering from NE Tolt Hill Rd to SR 202 EB (making LT) vs WB
- 2 @ MP 15.60 Entering from NE Tolt Hill Rd to SR 202 EB (making LT) vs WB
- 1 @ MP 15.60 Entering from NE Tolt Hill Rd to SR 202 WB (making RT) vs WB
- 1@ MP 15.65 Entering from a driveway to SR 202 EB (making LT) vs WB

O 2 b/w 2 PM and 3 PM, and 4 b/w 5 PM and 8 PM

Under 23 U.S. Code $\S 148$ and 23 U.S. Code $\S 409$, safety data, reports, surveys, schedules, lists compiled or collected for the purpose of identifying, evaluating, or planning the safety enhancement of potential crash sites, hazardous roadway conditions, or railway-highway crossings are not subject to discovery or admitted into evidence in a Federal or State court proceeding or considered for other purposes in any action for damages arising from any occurrence at a location mentioned or addressed in such reports, surveys, schedules, lists, or data.


Figure 14. SR 202 and NE Tolt Hill Rd I/S Crash Diagram (01/01/2015-06/10/2020)

## SE $\mathbf{8}^{\text {th }} \mathbf{S t}$ I/S (MP 17.21)



Figure 15. SR 202 and SE $8^{\text {th }}$ St I/S
Table 11. SR 202 and SE 8th St I/S Crash Severities by Year

| Crash Severity | Year |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | Num. | Percentage |
| Suspected Minor Injury |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | $11 \%$ |
| Possible Injury | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | $44 \%$ |
| No Apparent Injury |  | 2 | 1 | 1 |  | 4 | $44 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

Table 12. SR 202 and SE 8th St I/S Crash Types by Year

| Crash Type | Year |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | Num. | Percentage |
| Rear-end | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | $44 \%$ |
| Fixed object |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 2 | $22 \%$ |
| Entering at angle |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | $11 \%$ |
| Same-Dir-Misc |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | $11 \%$ |
| Sideswipe |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | $11 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

Other crash characteristics are as follows:

- 1 (11\%) in dark
- 3 (33\%) on wet surface
- CC: 4 speed, 2 inattention, 7 following, 1 improper action, and 1 driver distraction
- 2 ea. in Jan. \& Dec., and 1 ea. in June, July, Aug., Oct., and Nov.
- 5 on Wed., and 1 ea. on Mon., Tues., Thur., and Fri.
- 4 b/w 7 AM and 10 AM, 3 b/w 2 PM and 4 PM, and 2 b/w 5 PM and 6 PM

[^7]- 4 RE

0 All PI
o 1 in dark
o CC:3 speed, and 1 driver distraction
o V1 \& V2 Dir.

- All EB
- $\quad 2 \mathrm{FO}$
o 1 on wet surface
0 CC: 1 speed
o 1 @ 14:02 and 1 @ 14:38
o Veh Dir.
- 1 turning LT to SR 202 EB, and 1 SR 202 WB (due to a turning LT vehicle from SE $8^{\text {th }}$ St to SR 202 EB)
- 1 SD-misc. : EB V1 collided with the turning LT V2 from EB to SE $8^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$. V1 wanted to pass V2 on the left side. The crash occurred on wet surface.
- 1 SS: EB V1 collided with turning LT V2 from EB to SE $8^{\text {th }}$ St and the right side guardrail. V2 attempted to pass V 2 on the right side.
- 1 AC: This crash was an El crash. V1 turning LT to SR 202 EB from SE $8^{\text {th }}$ St collided with WB V2 on wet surface.


## 308th Ave SE I/S (MP 19.45)



Figure 16. SR 202 and $308^{\text {th }}$ Ave SE I/S
An Active Warning Sign (AWS) was installed in 2017. It is for the WB SR 202 and warns drivers about entering vehicles from $308^{\text {th }}$ Ave SE.

Table 13. SR 202 and $308^{\text {th }}$ Ave SE I/S Crash Severities by Year

| Crash Severity | Year |  |  |  | Total |  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | Num. |  |  |
| Suspected Minor Injury | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 3 | $30 \%$ |  |
| Possible Injury | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | $20 \%$ | 1 |
| No Apparent Injury | 2 |  |  | 2 | 1 | 5 | $50 \%$ |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |

Table 14. SR 202 and $308^{\text {th }}$ Ave SE I/S Crash Types by Year

| Crash Type | Year |  |  |  |  | Total |  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | Num. | Percentage |  |
| Entering at angle | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 3 | $30 \%$ |  |
| Fixed object | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | $30 \%$ |  |
| Same Dir-Misc | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 2 | $20 \%$ | 1 |
| Opposite direction | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | $10 \%$ |  |
| Rear-end $\quad$ Total |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | $10 \%$ |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |  |

[^8]Other crash characteristics are as follows:

- 7 (70\%) in dark
- $4(40 \%)$ on either wet or icy surface
- CC: 4 ea. inattention \& DUI, and 1 ea. speed \& disregard control
- 3 in Nov., 2 ea. in Jan. \& Dec., and 1 ea. in July, Aug., and Sept.
- 5 on Fri., 3 on Tues., and 2 on Thur.
- $2 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{w} 11 \mathrm{AM}$ and 12 PM, and $4 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{w} 4$ PM and 7 PM
- 3 AC
o All before 2017 (installing AWS)
o 2 El and 1 PI
o 1 in dark
o 2 on wet surface
o CC: 2 inattention, and 1 disregard control
o $2 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{w} 11 \mathrm{AM}$ and 12 PM, and 1 @ 8:59 PM
o V1 vs V2 Dir.:
- 2 SB ( $308^{\text {th }}$ Av SE thru) vs EB
- 1 EB (lost control) vs Stopped at the Stop sign on the south leg
- 3 FO
o 1 in dark
o All on wet surface
o CC: 2 DUI, and 1 speed
o 1 @ 12:26 AM, and 2 b/w 5 PM and 7 PM
o Vehicle Dir.:
- 1 EB (turning RT to $308^{\text {th }}$ Ave SE)
- 1 NB (turning RT to SR 202 EB from $308^{\text {th }}$ Ave SE)
- 1 WB lost control and hit the ditch on the south side
- 2 SD-misc.

01 El
o 1 in dark
o CC: 2 DUI
o V1 and V2 Dir.

- WB V1 collided with the turning LT V2 from WB to $308^{\text {th }}$ Ave SE. V1 wanted to pass V2 on the left side ( $7 / 2015$ )
- WB V1 rear-ended WB V2 slowing down to turn RT to $308^{\text {th }}$ Ave SE
- 1 RE:

0 PI
o In dark
o On wet surface
o CC: inattention
o V1 \& V2 Dir.

- WB (V2 turning LT)


## Preston-Fall City Rd SE I/S (MP 21.71)



Figure 17. SR 202 and Preston-Fall City Rd SE I/S

Table 15. SR 202 and Preston-Fall City Rd SE I/S Crash Severities by Year

| Crash Severity | Year |  |  |  | Total |  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | Num. |  |  |
| Suspected Serious Injury | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | $6 \%$ |  |
| Suspected Minor Injury |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | $6 \%$ | 2 |
| Possible Injury |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 3 | $19 \%$ |  |
| No Apparent Injury | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 11 | $69 \%$ | 2 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |

Table 16. SR 202 and Preston-Fall City Rd SE I/S Crash Types by Year

| Crash Type | Year |  |  |  | Total |  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | Num. |  |  |
| Entering at angle | 2 |  | 1 | $\mathbf{3}$ |  | 6 | $38 \%$ |  |
| Opp Dir 1LT-1STR | 1 |  | 2 |  |  | 3 | $19 \%$ | 3 |
| Fixed object |  | 2 | 1 |  |  | 3 | $19 \%$ |  |
| Rear-end |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | $6 \%$ | 1 |
| Parking |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | $6 \%$ |  |
| Pedestrian | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | $6 \%$ |  |
| Sideswipe |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | $6 \%$ |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |

[^9]

Figure 18. SR 202 and Preston-Fall City Rd SE I/S Crashes by Month


Figure 19. SR 202 and Preston-Fall City Rd SE I/S Crashes by Day of Week


Figure 20. SR 202 and Preston-Fall City Rd SE I/S Crashes by Time of Day
Other crash characteristics are as follows:

- $2(1 \%)$ in dark
- $4(25 \%)$ on wet surface
- CC: 4 DNG R/W, 3 improper action, 3 inattention, 2 DUI, 1 illness/meds, and 1 disregard control
- 6 AC

01 PI
o 1 in dark
o 1 on wet surface
o CC: 3 DNG R/W, 1 inattention, 1 DUI, and 1 disregard control
o V1 vs V2 Dir.:

- 5 Entering SR 202 from Preston-Fall City Rd SE (turning LT) vs EB
- 1 Entering SR 202 from Preston-Fall City Rd SE (turning RT) vs EB

Under 23 U.S. Code $\S 148$ and 23 U.S. Code $\S 409$, safety data, reports, surveys, schedules, lists compiled or collected for the purpose of identifying, evaluating, or planning the safety enhancement of potential crash sites, hazardous roadway conditions, or railway-highway crossings are not subject to discovery or admitted into evidence in a Federal or State court proceeding or considered for other purposes in any action for damages arising from any occurrence at a location mentioned or addressed in such reports, surveys, schedules, lists, or data.


Figure 21. SR 202 and Preston-Fall City Rd SE I/S Crash Diagram (01/01/2015-06/10/2020)

## SR 202 and SR 203 Roundabout (MP 21.84)



Figure 22. SR 202 and SR 203 Roundabout
Table 17. SR 202 and SR 203 RA Crash Severities by Year

| Crash Severity | Year |  |  |  | Total |  | 2020 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | Num. |  |  |
| Suspected Minor Injury |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | $18 \%$ |  |
| Possible Injury |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | $9 \%$ | 1 |
| No Apparent Injury |  | 1 |  | 5 | 2 | 8 | $73 \%$ |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |

Table 18. SR 202 and SR 203 RA Crash Types by Year

| Crash Type | Year |  |  |  | Total |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | Num. | Percentage |  |
| Entering at angle |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 6 | $55 \%$ |  |
| Rear-end |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | $18 \%$ |  |
| Fixed object |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | $9 \%$ | 1 |
| Same Dir-Misc |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | $9 \%$ |  |
| Overturn $\quad$ Total |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | $9 \%$ |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |

Other crash characteristics are as follows:

- $4(36 \%)$ in either dark or dawn
- $3(27 \%)$ on wet surface
- CC: 4 inattention, 2 DNG R/W, and 1 ea. speed, improper action, and defective equip.
- 3 in July, 2 ea. Mar., Apr., June, and 1 in Feb. \& Dec.
- 3 ea. on Wed. \& Fri., 2 on Sat., and 1 ea. on Sun., Mon. \& Tues.

[^10]- 2 b/w 6 AM and 8AM, 2 b/w 9 AM and 11 AM, 3 b/w 2 PM and 4 PM, and 3 b/w 8 PM and 11

PM

- 6 AC
o 1 El and 1 PI
o 3 in either dark or dawn
o 1 on wet surface
o CC: 2 ea. inattention \& DNG R/W
o $2 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{w} 6 \mathrm{AM}$ and 8 AM , and $2 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{w} 8$ PM and 10 PM

Under 23 U.S. Code § 148 and 23 U.S. Code § 409, safety data, reports, surveys, schedules, lists compiled or collected for the purpose of identifying, evaluating, or planning the safety enhancement of potential crash sites, hazardous roadway conditions, or railway-highway crossings are not subject to discovery or admitted into evidence in a Federal or State court proceeding or considered for other purposes in any action for damages arising from any


Figure 23. SR 202 and SR 203 RA Crash Diagram (01/01/2015-06/10/2020)

## Appendix B: Operational Evaluation

## Operations Evaluation Summary Table

| AM Peak Hour |  | Existing |  |  | Proposed |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection | Intersection Control | Existing <br> LOS | Existing Delay <br> (sec) | Intersection <br> Control | Proposed <br> LOS | Proposed Delay <br> (sec) |  |
| Ames Lake Rd | Two-Way Stop | B | 14.1 | Roundabout | A | 5.9 |  |
| NE Tolt Hill Rd (W Jct)* | Two-Way Stop | - | - | Roundabout | - | - |  |
| NE Tolt Hill Rd (E Jct)* | Two-Way Stop | - | - | Roundabout | - | - |  |
| Preston-Fall City Rd* | Two-Way Stop | - | - | - |  |  |  |


| PM Peak Hour | Existing |  |  | Proposed |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection | Intersection Control | Existing <br> LOS | Existing Delay <br> (sec) | Intersection <br> Control | Proposed <br> LOS | Proposed Delay <br> (sec) |
| Ames Lake Rd | Two-Way Stop | D | 25.9 | Roundabout | A | 6.7 |
| NE Tolt Hill Rd (W Jct) | Two-Way Stop | B | 13.5 | Roundabout | A | 6.6 |
| NE Tolt Hill Rd (E Jct) | Two-Way Stop | C | 18.3 |  | C |  |
| Preston-Fall City Rd | Two-Way Stop | F | 94.9 | Roundabout | C | 26.3 |

*SR 202 at NE Tolt Hill Rd and SR 202 at Preston-Fall City Rd have limited AM peak period data. Due to the global health crisis in 2020 and 2021, data collection yielded lower volumes than typical. However, the PM peak hour volumes were within typical patterns and can be utilized to inform expected operations at these intersections.

## NE Ames Lake Road - AM Peak, Existing

HCM 6th TWSC
3: SR 202 \& NE Ames Lake Rd



| Approach | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, 5 | 1.8 | 0 | 14.1 |
| HCM LOS |  | $B$ |  |



## NE Ames Lake Road - AM Peak, Proposed

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

(7) Site: 1 [NE Ames Lake Rd - AM (Site Folder: General)]

AM
Site Category: (None)
Roundabout

| Vehicle Movement Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Moy turn } \\ & \text { ib } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | DEMANIB claNs |  | $\frac{0 n 9}{8 i n}$ | Av/ail Daray | Leval of Servim | $92 \%$ BAEKBF QUEUE |  | Prop Cim | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Erachive } \\ & 5 \text { Ren } \\ & \text { Rein } \end{aligned}$ | $\substack{\mathrm{N}=1 \\ \mathrm{No} \\ \text { cyelos }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { fumt } \\ 55 \mathrm{mmo} \\ \mathrm{mpn} \end{gathered}$ |
| East SR 202 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 T1 | 368 | 3.0 | 400 | 3.0 | 0.392 | 5.3 | $\operatorname{LOS} A$ | 2.4 | 60.5 | 0.35 | 0.49 | 0.35 | 35.9 |
| 16 R2 | 28 | 3.0 | 30 | 3.0 | 0.392 | 5.2 | LOSA | 2.4 | 60.5 | 0.35 | 0.49 | 0.35 | 35.0 |
| Approach | 396 | 3.0 | 430 | 3.0 | 0.392 | 5.3 | LOS A | 2.4 | 60.5 | 0.35 | 0.49 | 0.35 | 35.8 |
| North: NE Ames Lake Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $7 \quad 12$ | 29 | 3.0 | 32 | 3.0 | 0.223 | 11.6 | LOS B | 1.2 | 29.9 | 0.55 | 0.70 | 0.55 | 35.0 |
| 14 R 2 | 148 | 3.0 | 161 | 3.0 | 0.223 | 6.8 | LOSA | 1.2 | 29.9 | 0.55 | 0.70 | 0.55 | 34.3 |
| Approach | 177 | 3.0 | 192 | 3.0 | 0.223 | 7.5 | LOSA | 1.2 | 29.9 | 0.55 | 0.70 | 0.55 | 34.4 |
| West: SR 202 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $5 \quad \mathrm{~L} 2$ | 101 | 3.0 | 110 | 3.0 | 0.446 | 9.4 | LOS A | 3.3 | 85.0 | 0.21 | 0.48 | 0.21 | 35.6 |
| 2 T1 | 378 | 3.0 | 411 | 3.0 | 0.446 | 4.8 | LOS A | 3.3 | -85,0 | 0.21 | 0.48 | 0.21 | 35.8 |
| Approach | 479 | 3.0 | 521 | 3.0 | 0.446 | 5.7 | LOS A | 3.3 | 85.0 | 0.21 | 0.48 | 0.21 | 35.8 |
| All Vahicles | 1052 | 3.0 | 1143 | 30 | 0.446 | 59 | LOSA | 3.3 | 85,0 | 0.32 | 0.52 | 0.32 | 35.5 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay \& Degree of Saturation (SIDRA), Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Signalised Intersections.
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay and v/c ratio (degree of saturation) per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all movements (v/c not used).
Roundabout Capacity Model; SIDRA Standard.
Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included),
Queue Model: HCM Queue Formula.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.0 | Copyright © 2000-2020 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com
Organisation: WASHINGTON STATE OEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION \| Licence: NETWORK/Enterprise \| Processed: Tuesday,
February 8, 2022 1:42:18 PM
Project: J:UCO Trafficl202)SR 202 - MP 13-20,64-21.82. 244th-324th-Fall City Corridor Study 1 SidralSR 202 Roundabouts.sip9

## NE Ames Lake Road - PM Peak, Existing

HCM 6th TWSC
3: SR 202 \& NE Ames Lake Rd

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Int Delay, siveh | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |



| Approach | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 2.3 | 0 | 25.9 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | D |



## NE Ames Lake Road - PM Peak, Proposed

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

$\nabla$ Site: 1 [NE Ames Lake Rd - PM (Site Folder: General)]
PM
Site Category: (None)
Roundabout

| Vehicle Movement Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May Tum IE |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MD } \\ & \text { NS } \\ & \text { irvi } \\ & \text { of } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dey. } \\ & \text { Saki. } \\ & \text { ynum } \end{aligned}$ | Aver. Delay $\operatorname{sen}$ | Level of Servige | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \text { B } \\ \text { out } \\ \text { i veli } \\ \text { ven } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WeH OF } \\ & \text { BUE } \\ & \text { DISL I } \\ & \text { it } \end{aligned}$ | Prop. Que | Elicutive Stop Rate | Aver No. Gyales | Aver Speen $\qquad$ moh |
| East: SR 202 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 T1 | 490 | 3.0 | 533 | 3.0 | 0.610 | 6.7 | LOSA | 5.0 | 128.7 | 0.63 | 0.65 | 0.64 | 35.0 |
| 16 R2 | 67 | 3.0 | 73 | 3.0 | 0.610 | 6.6 | LOSA | 5.0 | 128.7 | 0.63 | 0.65 | 0.64 | 34.2 |
| Approach | 557 | 3.0 | 605 | 3.0 | 0.610 | 6.7 | LOSA | 5.0 | 128.7 | 0.63 | 0.65 | 0.64 | 34.9 |
| North: NE Ames Lake Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $7 \quad \mathrm{L2}$ | 36 | 3.0 | 39 | 3.0 | 0.251 | 12.5 | LOS B | 1.4 | 36.9 | 0.67 | 0.78 | 0.67 | 34.4 |
| 14 R2 | 133 | 3.0 | 145 | 3.0 | 0.251 | 7.7 | LOSA | 1.4 | 36.9 | 0.67 | 0.78 | 0.67 | 33.7 |
| Approach | 169 | 3.0 | 184 | 3.0 | 0.251 | 8.8 | LOS A | 1.4 | 36.9 | 0.67 | 0.78 | 0.67 | 33.8 |
| West: SR 202 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $5 \quad \mathrm{~L} 2$ | 194 | 3.0 | 211 | 3.0 | 0.746 | 8.8 | LOSA | 10.6 | 272.3 | 0.46 | 0.48 | 0.46 | 34.9 |
| $2 . T 1$ | 599 | 3.0 | 651 | 3.0 | 0.746 | 5.2 | LOSA | 10.6 | 272.3 | 0.46 | 0.48 | 0.46 | 35.1 |
| Approach | 793 | 3.0 | 862 | 3.0 | 0.746 | 6.3 | LOS A | 10.6 | 272,3 | 0,46 | 0.48 | 0.46 | 35.0 |
| All <br> Vehicles | 1519 | 3.0 | 1651 | 3.0 | 0.746 | 6.7 | LOSA | 10.6 | 272.3 | 0.55 | 0.57 | 0.55 | 34.8 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay \& Degree of Saturation (SIDRA). Sile LOS Melhod is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site lab).
Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Signalised Intersections,
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay and v/c ratio (degree of saturation) per movement
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all movements (v/c not used).
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.
Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).
Queue Model: HCM Queue Formula.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

[^11]
## NE Tolt Hill Road - PM Peak, Existing

HCM 6th TWSC
7: SR 202 \& NE Tolt Hill Rd (E)



| Approach | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay,s | 0 | 0 | 18.3 |
| HCM LOS |  | C |  |


|  |  | EBT | WBT WBR SBLIt |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Minor LanelMsjor Mvmt | EBL | EBT |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1095 | - | 321 |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.002 | - | - | -0.156 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 8.3 | - | - | -18.3 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tle Q(veh) | 0 | - | - | -0.5 |

## NE Tolt Hill Road - PM Peak, Proposed

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

8ite: 2 [NE Tolt Hill Rd (Site Folder: General)]
PM
Site Category: (None)
Roundabout

| Vehicle Movement Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mav Tum ID | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INF } \\ & \text { VOL } \\ & \text { ITolal } \\ & \text { vebinh } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mi } \\ & \text { VS } \\ & \text { HVI } \\ & \text { is } \end{aligned}$ | Deg Bam V/es | Aver. Delay <br> sen | Level of Service |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CK OF } \\ & \text { UE } \\ & \text { Disil } \\ & \text { fil } \end{aligned}$ | Prop Que | Effective <br> Slop <br> Rate |  | Aver, Spanat <br> Iminis |
| East: SR 202 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 T1 | 385 | 3.0 | 418 | 3.0 | 0.476 | 5.9 | LOS A | 3.2 | 81.2 | 0.50 | 0.57 | 0.50 | 35.5 |
| 16a R1 | 44 | 3.0 | 48 | 3.0 | 0.476 | 5.6 | LOSA | 3.2 | 81.2 | 0.50 | 0.57 | 0.50 | 35.3 |
| Approach | 429 | 3.0 | 466 | 3.0 | 0.476 | 5.9 | LOSA | 3.2 | 81.2 | 0.50 | 0.57 | 0.50 | 35,5 |
| NorthWest: NE Tolt Hill Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7ax L1 | 42 | 3.0 | 46 | 3.0 | 0.089 | 13.0 | LOS B | 0.4 | 11.4 | 0.63 | 0.76 | 0.63 | 32.2 |
| 14bx R3 | 178 | 3.0 | 193 | 3.0 | 0.212 | 7.4 | LOSA | 1.3 | 33.6 | 0.62 | 0.70 | 0.62 | 34.1 |
| Approach | 220 | 3.0 | 239 | 3.0 | 0.212 | 8.5 | LOS A | 1.3 | 33.6 | 0.62 | 0.71 | 0.62 | 33.7 |
| West: SR 202 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 b L3 | 164 | 3.0 | 178 | 3.0 | 0.615 | 10.9 | LOS B | 6.1 | 156.5 | 0.37 | 0.51 | 0.37 | 35.4 |
| 2 Tt | 435 | 3.0 | 473 | 3.0 | 0.615 | 5.0 | LOSA | 6.1 | 156.5 | 0.37 | 0.51 | 0.37 | 35.2 |
| Approach | 599 | 3.0 | 651 | 3.0 | 0.615 | 6.6 | LOS A | 6.1 | 156.5 | 0.37 | 0.51 | 0.37 | 35.3 |
| All Vehicles | 1248 | 3.0 | 1357 | 30 | 0.615 | 6.7 | LOS A | 6.1 | 156.5 | 0.46 | 0.57 | 0.46 | 35.1 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay \& Degree of Saturation (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Seltings dialog (Site tab).
Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Signalised Intérsections.
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay and v/C ratio (degree of saturation) per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all movements (v/c not used).
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.
Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).
Queue Model: HCM Queue Formula.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

[^12]HCM 6th TWSC
15: Preston Fall City Rd SE \& SR 202



| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 4.5 | 94.9 |
| HCM LOS |  | F |  |



## Preston-Fall City Road - PM Peak, Proposed

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

(8) Site: 3 [Preston-Fall City Rd (Site Folder: General)]

PM
Site Category: (None)
Roundabout

| Vehicle Movement Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov Tum ID | INPPUT VOLUMES |  | DEMAND FLOWS |  | Deg: Satn v/e | Bunt: Delay <br> sed | Letvel of Service $\qquad$ | $95 \%$ BACK OF QUEUE |  | Prup. Que | Eflective Stop Retle | Aver No. Cyeles | Aver. Speed <br> mish |
| South: Preston-Fall City Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 L2 | 149 | 3.0 | 162 | 3.0 | 0.954 | 41.3 | LOS E | 23.4 | 598.2 | 1.00 | 1.53 | 2.34 | 23.7 |
| 18a R1 | 430 | 3.0 | 467 | 3.0 | 0.954 | 36.4 | LOSE | 23.4 | 598.2 | 1.00 | 1.53 | 2.34 | 23.7 |
| Approach | 579 | 3.0 | 629 | 3.0 | 0.954 | 37.7 | LOS D | 23.4 | 598.2 | 1.00 | 1.53 | 2.34 | 23.7 |
| NorthEast: SR 202 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1ax L1 | 253 | 3.0 | 275 | 3.0 | 0.602 | 10.1 | LOS B | 6.1 | 156.1 | 0.71 | 0.65 | 0.71 | 33.9 |
| 16ax R1 | 288 | 3.0 | 313 | 3.0 | 0.602 | 6.2 | LOSA | 6.1 | 156.1 | 0.71 | 0.65 | 0.71 | 34.2 |
| Approach | 541 | 3.0 | 588 | 3.0 | 0.602 | 8.0 | $\operatorname{LOS} A$ | 6.1 | 156.1 | 0.71 | 0.65 | 0.71 | 34.1 |
| West: SR 202 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 L L1 | 464 | 3.0 | 504 | 3.0 | 0.957 | 32.1 | LOSE | 27.4 | 701.3 | 1.00 | 1.26 | 1.91 | 25.5 |
| 12 R 2 | 253 | 3.0 | 275 | 3.0 | 0.957 | 28.4 | LOSE | 27.4 | 701.3 | 1.00 | 1.26 | 1.91 | 25.3 |
| Approach | 717 | 3.0 | 779 | 3.0 | 0.957 | 30.8 | LOS C | 27.4 | 701.3 | 1.00 | 1.26 | 1.91 | 25.4 |
| All Vehicles | 1837 | 3.0 | 1997 | 3.0 | 0.957 | 26.3 | LOS C | 27.4 | 701.3 | 0.91 | 1.17 | 169 | 26.8 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay \& Degree of Saturation (SIDRA). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).
Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Signalised Intersections.
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay and v/c ratio (degree of saturation) per movement.
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all movements (v/c not used).
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.
Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).
Queue Model: HCM Queue Formula.
Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).
HV (\%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.
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# ROUNDABOUT ESTIMATE - BREAKDOWN BY UNIT BID ITEM 



Subtotal for Percentages

| Bid Item Subtotal: | \$3,303,944 |  |  |  |  | \$0 | \$3,303,944 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Miscellaneous | 40.0\% |  |  | \$1,321,577 |  | \$0 | \$1,321,577 |
| Subtotal: |  |  |  | \$4,625,521 |  | \$0 | \$4,625,521 |
| Mobilization | 10.0\% |  |  | \$462,552 |  | \$0 | \$462,552 |
| Subtotal: |  |  |  | \$5,088,073 |  | \$0 | \$5,088,073 |
| Sales Tax | 10.0\% |  |  | \$508,807 |  | \$0 | \$508,807 |
| Bid Item Total: |  |  |  | \$5,596,880 |  | \$0 | \$5,596,880 |
| Utility Agreements | \$20,000 | EA | 1 | \$20,000 | 0 | \$0 | \$20,000 |
| Washington State Patrol | \$100 | HR | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| (700) Non-Bid Item Total: |  |  |  | \$20,000 |  | \$0 | \$20,000 |

ROUNDABOUT ESTIMATE - BREAKDOWN BY UNIT BID ITEM


## ASSUMPTIONS:

1 This project will construct a compact single-lane roundabout (RAB) at SR 202 and NE Ames Lake Rd with an 100' diameter (ICD)
and an 18 ' wide circulating lane. Features include a fully-mountable central island and pre-cast mountable raised splitter islands with pedestrian cut-throughs
2 This project will need approximately 38 working days to complete all work.
3 Adjust Sales Tax to $10 \%$ per Washington State Department of Revenue website at the date of this estimate.
4 Construction Engineering adjusted to $13 \%$ and contingencies adjusted to $4 \%$ per Plans Preparation Manual dated November 2013.
5 Preliminary Engineering adjusted to $13 \%$ to match average historical PE cost of previous roundabout projects.
6 Removal of Raised Pavement Markers, Pavement Markings, Plastic Lines, and Paint Lines are incidental to the Planing Bituminous Pavement work. Incidental is defined as "liable to happen as a consequence of."
7 Preliminary review of ROW identified no conflicts - RAB to be constructed within existing roadway footprint.
8 There is a corrected fish barriers within vicinity of project limits \# 07.0383A 0.50.
9 Illumination System, ITS, and Permanent Signing lump sum costs provided by NWR Traffic Design.

## PAVING（P1）SCOPING FILE CHECKLIST


Scoped By： $\qquad$ Date：8／20／2019
$\qquad$ Date：8／21／2019
Reviewed By： $\qquad$ Date： $\qquad$

Project Summary Region Review Package（Required）
$\square$ Project Definition
$\square$ Design Decisions Summary
HQ Review
Environmental Review Summary
Package

Project Vicinity Map
$\square$ Project Cost Estimate Summary
$\square$
Detailed Quantity Calculations for Each Estimate Item
$\square$ PDIS Schedule
$\square$ PEO Comments

## Scoping File Documentation（Required）

$\square$ Roadside Restoration Worksheet


Design Variance Inventory
Support Group Documentation：


Preliminary Surfacing Recommendation
WSPMS Listing
Support Group Correspondence：$\square$ ENVIRONMENTAL $\square$ BRIDGE DESIGN $\square$ MAINTENANCEMATERIALS
$\square R / W$日R／W UPDATETRAFFICUTILITIES口OTHER $\qquad$
HAC／HAL Reviews
Field Review Notes and Pictures
$\square$ Existing Utility Locations

口
Access Permits

## Additional Scoping Information（Optional）

As－Built and Right of Way Plans
Conversation Records and Email Correspondence


Plan Sheets
Resurfacing Project Definition

CALCULATION WORKSHEET - SECTION 1
SR 202/NE Ames Lake Road - MP 13.83


## PREPARATION

| 0050 Removal of Structures and Obstructions |
| :--- |
| Removal of miscellaneous obstructions |

## GRADING

0310 Roadway Excavation Incl. Haul, CY

| Location | SF | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Width (a) } \\ \text { (FT) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length (b) } \\ \text { (FT) } \end{gathered}$ | Depth (FT) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Vol. } \\ & \text { (CY) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Measured in PDF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ames lake Road and SR 202 HMA Removal | 51300.00 |  |  | 1.50 | 2850 |  |
| Ames lake Road (New Profile) | 8000.00 |  |  | 3.00 | 889 | Measured in PDF |
| Central Island (NE Quad) | 4800.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 178 | Measured in PDF |
| NE Quad Shoulder | 5400.00 |  |  | 2.00 | 400 | Measured in PDF |
|  |  |  | Total |  | 4317 | CY |

431 Gravel Borrow including Haul Incl. Haul, Ton


471 Embankment Compaction, CY

| Location | SF | Width (a) <br> (FT) | Length (b) <br> (FT) | Depth <br> (FT) | Vol. <br> (CY) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Same as Gravel Barrow CY |  |  |  |  | 8781 |

## STORM SEWER

3091 Catch Basin Type 1

| Notes |  |  |  |  | EA |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

3457 CL IV Reinf. Concrete Storm Sewer Pipe 18"

|  | 300 | LF | C5302 had $68 \mathrm{M}=223$ lf increased to 300 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SURFACING |  |  |  |

## SURFACING

5100 Crushed Surfacing Base Course, TON

| Location | Width (a) <br> (FT) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Length (b) } \\ & \text { (FT) } \end{aligned}$ | AREA (SF) | Depth <br> (FT) | Volume (CY) | Tons | Measured in PDF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ames Lake Road |  |  | 13000.00 | 0.35 | 169 | 312 |  |
| Central Island |  |  | 3217.00 | 0.50 | 60 | 110 | Measured in PDF |
| N Splitter Island (NE Ames Lake Rd) |  |  | 1165.00 | 0.25 | 11 | 20 | Measured in PDF |
| W Splitter Island (SR 202) |  |  | 1720.00 | 0.25 | 16 | 29 | Measured in PDF |
| E Splitter Island (SR 202) |  |  | 1831.00 | 0.25 | 17 | 31 | Measured in PDF |
| SR 202 West Side Ames Lake Rd |  |  | 19000.00 | 0.30 | 211 | 391 | Measured in PDF |
| SR 202 East Side Ames Lake Rd |  |  | 20000.00 | 0.30 | 222 | 411 | Measured in PDF |
| RAB |  |  | 11309.00 | 0.30 | 126 | 232 | Measured in PDF |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total $=$ | 1,537 | TONS |

[^13]CALCULATION WORKSHEET - SECTION 1
SR 202/NE Ames Lake Road - MP 13.83


| Ped Cut Through cross section $=4^{\prime \prime}\left(0.33^{\prime}\right)$ Cement Conc. Sidewalk over $0.30^{\prime}$ CSBC (metric C-8882, traffic island detail) |
| :--- |
| HOT MIX ASPHALT |
| $\mathbf{5 7 1 1}$ Planing Bituminous Pavement, SY       <br> *Area determined using SRview and Google Maps       <br> Alignment Begin MP End MP Width <br> (FT) Length <br> (FT) Area <br> (SF) Area <br> (SY)             <br> SR 202 13.75 13.75 36 50 1,800 200 <br> SR 202 13.91 13.75 36 50 1,800 200 |

## 5767 HMA for Mainline, Ton



## CEMENT CONCRETE PAVEMENT

| x Textured and Pigmented Cement Concrete Pavement, SY |  |  |  |  | 64 ICD with 15' apron |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | $\begin{gathered} \text { Width (a) } \\ \text { (FT) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Length (b) } \\ \text { (FT) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Area (SF) | Area (SY) |  |
| Central Island Apron (15') |  |  |  | 256 |  |
| Central Island |  |  | 908 | 101 | 34 DIAM |
| N Splitter Island (NE Ames Lake Rd) |  |  | 1165 | 129 | Measured in PDF |
| W Splitter Island (SR 202) |  |  | 1720 | 191 | Measured in PDF |
| E Splitter Island (SR 202) |  |  | 1831 | 203 | Measured in PDF |
|  | Total |  |  | 881 | SY |

Central Island cross section $=0.92^{\prime}$ Textured \& Pigmented Cement Concrete Pavement over 0.50' CSBC (metric C-9219)

| EROSION CONTROL \& PLANTING |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6403 | ESC Lead, Day |  |  |  | 31 | Days |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6490 | Erosion / Water Pollution Control, LS |  |  |  | \$15,000 | LS |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6635 | High Visibility Silt Fence |  |  |  | LF |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Location |  |  |  | Length (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NE Ames Lake Rd |  |  |  | 350 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR 202 (north side) |  |  |  | 600 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SR 202 (south side) |  |  |  | 700 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total $=$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1650 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TRAFFIC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Roadway Markings/Curb |  |  | From SR View \& Google Maps | Quantities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 6807 | 6871 | 6833 | 9238 | 6840 | 6857 | 6847 | 6881 |
| Begin MP | End MP | Notes |  | Stripes | Plastic Line | Plastic Traffic $\qquad$ | Plastic Traffic Arrows | Plastic Yield <br> Line Symbol | Precast Sloped <br> Mountable <br> Curb | Plastic Crosswalk Line | Plastic Wide Dotted Entry $\qquad$ Line | Plastic Drainage Marker |
| N Splitter Island (NE Ames Lake Rd) $240{ }^{\prime}$ |  |  |  | 2,200 |  |  |  | 250 | 192 |  |  |
| W Splitter Island (SR 202) 370' |  |  |  | 2,200 |  |  |  | 550 | 192 |  |  |
| E Splitter Island (SR 202) 425' <br> 20.00 |  |  |  | 2,200 |  |  |  | 550 | 192 |  |  |
| 0.00 | 0.03 | North Leg | Edge | 317 | 5 | 1 | 6 |  |  | 12 |  |
| 13.75 | 13.83 | West Leg | Edge | 845 | 5 | 1 | 6 |  |  | 12 |  |
| 13.83 | 13.91 | East Leg | Edge | 845 | 5 | 1 | 6 |  |  | 12 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Quantity: Units: |  |  |  | 8,606 | 15 | 3 | 18 | 1,926 | 576 | 36 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | LF | EA | EA | EA | LF | SF | LF | EA |

6699 Roundabout Cement Concrete Curb (4 Inch), LF

| Notes | Width (a) <br> (FT) | Length (b) <br> (FT) | Length |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West Splitter Island |  |  | 465 |
| East Splitter Island |  |  | 445 |

CALCULATION WORKSHEET - SECTION 1
SR 202/NE Ames Lake Road - MP 13.83


| Ames Lk Splitter |  |  | 280 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NW Quad for Shoulder |  |  | 645 |
| NE Quad for Shoulder |  |  | 675 |
| South Side SR 202 South |  |  | 866 |
| LF |  | 3,376 |  |

6708 Roundabout Central Island Cement Concrete Curb, LF

| Width (a) <br> (FT) | Length (b) <br> (FT) | Length |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Central Island | 3' ICD |  |  |
|  |  | 106 | 106 |
| LF |  |  |  |

6709 Roundabout Truck Apron Cement Concrete Curb (2 Inch), LF

| Notes | Width (a) <br> (FT) | Length (b) <br> (FT) | Length |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |

6904 Illumination System

| Cost estimate provided by NWR Traffic Design Duke Do on 9/9/2019. | Total Cost $=$ | \$30,000 | L.S. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6914 ITS |  |  |  |
| Cost estimate provided by NWR Traffic Design Duke Do on 9/9/2019. | Total Cost $=$ | \$111,000 | L.S. |
| 6890 Permanent Signing |  |  |  |
| Cost estimate provided by NWR Traffic Design Duke Do on 9/9/2019. | Total Cost $=$ | \$24,000 | L.S. |

## 6895 Temporary Pavement Marking - Short Duration



## Traffic Control

| Work Days |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Preparation and Removal | 8 | Days |
| Removing/regrading Ames Lake Rd/SR 202 | 10 | Days |
| Gravel Borrow/Embankment Compaction | 16 | Days |
| CSBC | 3 | Days |
| Planing / Repair / Paving | 4 | Days |
| Install Traffic Splitter Islands | 2 | Days |
| Install Ped Cut Throughs \& DWS | 4 | Days |
| Construct Central Island | 4 | Days |
| Striping \& Signage <br> Illumination \& ITS <br> Clean-up | 4 | Days |
|  |  | 4 |
| Days |  |  |
|  | Assume | 2 | Days

Assumptions for TC

* Production rates based on an 8-hour workday
* Production rates based on an 8-hour workday
* Production rates based on an 8-hour workday /1000tons/day
* Production rates based on an 8-hour workday/1000tons/day
*Grinding @ 9,000 SY / Day; Paving @ 1,200 Tons / Day
*Curbing @ 1,500 LF / Day; Commercial HMA @ 160 CY/day
*1 Ped Cut Through per Day + 1 Day for DWS Install
*CSBC @ 1,000 T / Day; Curbing @ 1,500LF / Day; Concrete Pavement @ 1,200CY / Day
*Striping @ 1,400 LF / Day + 1 Day for Misc. Traffic Markings; All signing in 1 day
* Illumination System @ 5 Days / Pole -- assume work concurrent with Signage \& Ped Cut Throughs

Clean-up


| Hrs | Unit Cost | Units | Total Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1464 | $\$ 7$ | HR | $\$ 10,248$ |
| N/A | N/A | LS | $\$ 20,000$ |
| 488 | $\$ 75$ | LS | $\$ 36,600$ |
| 1464 | $\$ 65$ | HR | $\$ 95,160$ |
| N/A | $\$ 25$ | SF | $\$ 3,600$ |
| 976 | $\$ 65$ | HR | $\$ 63,440$ |
| 1464 | $\$ 10$ | HR | $\$ 14,640$ |
| N/A | $\$ 18,000$ | EA | $\$ 36,000$ |
| 976 | $\$ 70$ | HR | $\$ 68,320$ |
| N/A | $\$ 8,000$ | EST | $\$ 8,000$ |
| 240 | $\$ 120$ | HR | $\$ 28,800$ |
|  |  |  |  |

## OTHER

7006 Structure Excavation Class B Including Haul, CY
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Structure } & \text { Excavation Class B } & \text { Including Haul, CY } \\ 18^{\prime \prime} \text { Pipe } & 1.5 \times \mathrm{D}+1.5 & 300 \text { if } 5^{\prime} \text { depth }\end{array}$
3.75
208 CY

7008 Shoring or Extra Excavation Class B, SF

## CALCULATION WORKSHEET - SECTION 1

SR 202/NE Ames Lake Road - MP 13.83

Prepared By: $\qquad$

PIN: A202XXX

| Splitter Islands | 6 | 60 | Three Splitter Islands with ped cut through in each - DWS on each entry point. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



PAVEMENT REPAIR CALCULATION - SECTION 1
SR: 202 MP: 13.75 to MP: 13.91
Title: SR 202/NE Ames Lake Road Roundabout
WIN: A202XXX
PIN: 1202XXX

## PAVEMENT REPAIR CALCULATION




## 0332 Pavement Repair Excavation Including Haul, SY

| Section | Alignment | Area | (SY) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  | 0 |  |
|  | 0 | This is for $100 \%$ of the total Pavement Repair due to the SY measurement |  |

## 5739 HMA for Pavement Repair CL 1/2 In PG, TON

Used Bid Item 5739 for a typical pavement repair material.

| Section | Alignment | Depth | Area <br> (SY) | Quantity <br> (Tons) | $+5 \%$ <br> (Tons) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $90 \%$ |  | 0.15 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $10 \%$ |  | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

# ROUNDABOUT ESTIMATE - BREAKDOWN BY UNIT BID ITEM 

| SR: | 202 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Title: | SR202/Tolt Hill Rd - RAB |
| WIN: | A202XXX |
|  | Prepared By: |
|  | JC |
|  | Reviewed By: |
|  | Design PE Review By: |


| BMP: | 15.49 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PE } \\ & \text { R/M } \end{aligned}$ | @ | 10.0\% | \$736,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EMP: | 15.68 |  |  |  | \$376,000 |
| PIN: | 1202XXX | CN | @ | 13.0\% | \$8,610,000 |
|  | Date: |  |  | Total | \$9,722,000 |


| 12 - Collision Prevention |  |  |  | Section 1 <br> Tolt Hill Rd |  | Section 2 <br> Vacant |  | Project Totals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total | Total |  |  |
| Work Item \# | Work Item | Price per Unit | Unit |  |  | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost |
| Preparation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0025 | Clearing and Grubbing | \$15,000 | Acre | 0.62 | \$9,264 | 0 | \$0 | 1 | \$9,264 |
| 0050 | Removal of Structures and Obstructions | \$1 | LS | 10,000 | \$10,000 | 0 | \$0 | 10,000 | \$10,000 |
| Grading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0310 | Roadway Excavation Incl. Haul | \$35 | CY | 6,022 | \$210,778 | 0 | \$0 | 6,022 | \$210,778 |
| 0431 | Gravel Borrow Incl. Haul | \$45 | TON | 8,254 | \$371,412 | 0 | \$0 | 8,254 | \$371,412 |
| 0471 | Embankment Compaction | \$10 | CY | 4,461 | \$44,614 | 0 | \$0 | 4,461 | \$44,614 |
| Storm Sewer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3091 | Catch Basin Type 1 | \$2,000 | EA | 6 | \$12,000 | 0 | \$0 | 6 | \$12,000 |
| 3457 | CL IV Reinf. Concrete Storm Sewer Pipe 18" | \$70 | LF | 700 | \$49,000 | 0 | \$0 | 700 | \$49,000 |
| Structures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4410 | SEW 1 Railing 170' | \$110 | SF | 170 | \$18,700 | 0 | \$0 | 170 | \$18,700 |
| 4410 | SEW 2 Railing 160' | \$110 | SF | 160 | \$17,600 | 0 | \$0 | 160 | \$17,600 |
| 4410 | SEW 3 Railing 300' | \$110 | SF | 300 | \$33,000 | 0 | \$0 | 300 | \$33,000 |
| 7169 | SEW 1 MP 15.50 to 15.57 Rt Avg 4' ht 170' | \$65 | SF | 680 | \$44,200 | 0 | \$0 | 680 | \$44,200 |
| 7169 | SEW 2 MP 15.55 to 15.61Rt 8' Avg. ht 160' | \$65 | SF | 1,280 | \$83,200 | 0 | \$0 | 1,280 | \$83,200 |
| 7169 | SEW 3 MP 15.55 to 15.61Rt $8^{\prime}$ Avg ht 300' | \$65 | SF | 1,800 | \$117,000 | 0 | \$0 | 1,800 | \$117,000 |
| 4119 | SEW 1 Traffic Barrier 170' | \$500 | LF | 170 | \$85,000 | 0 | \$0 | 170 | \$85,000 |
| 4119 | SEW 2 Traffic Barrier 160' | \$500 | LF | 160 | \$80,000 | 0 | \$0 | 160 | \$80,000 |
| 4119 | SEW 3Traffic Barrier 300' | \$500 | LF | 300 | \$150,000 | 0 | \$0 | 300 | \$150,000 |
| Surfacing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5100 | Crushed Surfacing Base Course | \$50 | T | 2,883 | \$144,150 | 0 | \$0 | 2,883 | \$144,150 |
| Hot Mix Asphalt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5711 | Planing Bituminous Pavement | \$10 | SY | 578 | \$5,778 | 0 | \$0 | 578 | \$5,778 |
| 5767 | HMA for Mainline | \$140 | T | 6,784 | \$949,716 | 0 | \$0 | 6,784 | \$949,716 |
| 5830 | Job Mix Compliance Price Adjustment | Calc | \% | 5\% | \$47,485.78 | 5\% | \$0 | Calc | \$47,486 |
| 5835 | Compaction Price Adjustment | Calc | \% | 5\% | \$47,486 | 5\% | \$0 | Calc | \$47,486 |
| 5875 | Commercial HMA | \$350 | T | 92 | \$32,200 | 0 | \$0 | 92 | \$32,200 |
| Cement Concrete Pavement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| xxxx | Textured and Pigmented Cement Concrete Pavement | \$250 | SY | 1342 | \$335,389 | 0 | \$0 | 1,342 | \$335,389 |
| Erosion Control \& Planting |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6403 | ESC Lead | \$150 | Day | 39 | \$5,850 | 0 | \$0 | 39 | \$5,850 |
| 6471 | Inlet Protection | \$150 | EA | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 6490 | Erosion Water Pollution Control | \$1 | LS | 10000 | \$10,000 | 0 | \$0 | 10,000 | \$10,000 |
| 6635 | High Visibility Silt Fence | \$8 | LF | 2700 | \$21,600 | 0 | \$0 | 2,700 | \$21,600 |
| xxxx | Treatment/Detention/mitigation | \$1 | LS | 500000 | \$500,000 | 0 | \$0 | 500,000 | \$500,000 |
| Traffic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6807 | Plastic Line | \$5 | LF | 5,344 | \$26,718 | 0 | \$0 | 5,344 | \$26,718 |
| 6833 | Plastic Traffic Arrows | \$400 | EA | 5 | \$2,000 | 0 | \$0 | 5 | \$2,000 |
| 6847 | Wide Dotted Entry Line | \$10 | LF | 18 | \$180 | 0 | \$0 | 18 | \$180 |
| 9238 | Plastic Yield Line Symbol | \$120 | EA | 18 | \$2,160 | 0 | \$0 | 18 | \$2,160 |
| 6881 | Plastic Drainage Marker | \$100 | EA | 6 | \$600 | 0 | \$0 | 6 | \$600 |
| 6884 | Raised Pavent Marking | \$2,000 | Hun | 0.25 | \$502 | 0 | \$0 | 0.25 | \$502 |
| 6895 | Temporary Pavement Marking - Short Duration | \$1.00 | LF | 10,700 | \$10,700 | 0 | \$0 | 10,700 | \$10,700 |
| 6699 | Roundabout Cement Concrete Curb 4 inch | \$25 | LF | 1,995 | \$49,875 | 0 | \$0 | 1,995 | \$49,875 |
| 6702 | Mountable Cement Concrete Traffic Curb | \$80 | LF | 187 | \$14,960 | 0 | \$0 | 187 | \$14,960 |
| 6707 | Cement Concrete Pedestrian Curb | \$75 | LF | 60 | \$4,500 | 0 | \$0 | 60 | \$4,500 |
| 6708 | Roundabout Central Island Cement Concrete Curb | \$135 | LF | 125 | \$16,875 | 0 | \$0 | 125 | \$16,875 |
| 6709 | Roundabout Truck Apron Cement Concrete Curb (2 IN) | \$100 | LF | 427 | \$42,700 | 0 | \$0 | 427 | \$42,700 |
| 6840 | Precast Sloped Mountable Curb | \$30 | LF | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| 6904 | Illumination System | \$1 | LS | 50,000 | \$50,000 | 0 | \$0 | 50,000 | \$50,000 |
| 6914 | ITS | \$1 | LS | 111,000 | \$111,000 | 0 | \$0 | 111,000 | \$111,000 |
| 6890 | Permanent Signing | \$1 | LS | 24,000 | \$24,000 | 0 | \$0 | 24,000 | \$24,000 |
| 6956 | Sequential Arrow Sign | \$7 | HR | 1,872 | \$13,104 | 0 | \$0 | 1,872 | \$13,104 |
| 6973 | Other Temporary Traffic Control | \$1 | LS | 20,000 | \$20,000 | 0 | \$0 | 20,000 | \$20,000 |
| 6974 | Traffic Control Supervisor | \$1 | LS | 46,800 | \$46,800 | 0 | \$0 | 46,800 | \$46,800 |
| 6980 | Flaggers | \$65 | HR | 1,872 | \$121,680 | 0 | \$0 | 1,872 | \$121,680 |
| 6982 | Construction Signing Class A | \$25 | SF | 144 | \$3,600 | 0 | \$0 | 144 | \$3,600 |
| 6992 | Other Traffic Control Labor | \$65 | HR | 1,248 | \$81,120 | 0 | \$0 | 1,248 | \$81,120 |
| 6993 | Portable Changeable Message Sign | \$10 | HR | 1,872 | \$18,720 | 0 | \$0 | 1,872 | \$18,720 |
| 7447 | Transportable Attenuator | \$18,000 | EA | 2 | \$36,000 | 0 | \$0 | 2 | \$36,000 |
| 7449 | Operation of Transportable Attenuator | \$70 | HR | 1,248 | \$87,360 | 0 | \$0 | 1,248 | \$87,360 |
| 7450 | Repair Transportable Attenuator | \$1 | EST | 8,000 | \$8,000 | 0 | \$0 | 8,000 | \$8,000 |
| xxxx | Contractor Provided Uniformed Police Officer | \$120 | HR | 240 | \$28,800 | 0 | \$0 | 240 | \$28,800 |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| xxxx | ADA Features Survey | 1,250 | EA | 3 | \$3,750 | 0 | \$0 | 3 | \$3,750 |
| 7003 | Type B Progress Schedule | 1 | LS | 5000 | \$5,000 | 0 | \$0 | 5,000 | \$5,000 |
| 7006 | Structure Excavation Class B Including Haul | 50 | CY | 681 | \$34,028 | 0 |  | 681 | \$34,028 |
| 7008 | Shoring or Extra Excavation Class B | 2 | SF | 4900 | \$9,800 | 0 |  | 4,900 | \$9,800 |
| 7038 | Roadway Survey | 1 | LS | 10000 | \$10,000 | 0 | \$0 | 10,000 | \$10,000 |
| 7054 | Detectable Warning Surface | \$50 | SF | 60 | \$3,000 | 0 | \$0 | 60 | \$3,000 |
| 7055 | Cement Concrete Sidewalk | \$200 | SY | 17 | \$3,333 | 0 | \$0 | 17 | \$3,333 |
| 7480 | Roadside Cleanup | 1 | EST | 5000 | \$5,000 | 0 | \$0 | 5,000 | \$5,000 |
| 7725 | Reimbursement for Third Party Damage | 1 | EST | 5 | \$5 | 0 | \$0 | 5 | \$5 |
| 7736 | SPCC Plan | 1 | LS | 1250 | \$1,250 | 0 | \$0 | 1,250 | \$1,250 |

## ROUNDABOUT ESTIMATE - BREAKDOWN BY UNIT BID ITEM




| PE | $@$ | $10.0 \%$ | $\$ 736,000$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| R/W |  |  | $\$ 376,000$ |
| CN | $@$ | $13.0 \%$ | $\$ 8,610,000$ |
|  |  | Total | $\$ 9,722,000$ |


| 12-Collision Prevention |  |  |  | Section 1 <br> Tolt Hill Rd |  | Section 2 <br> Vacant |  | Project Totals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total | Total |  |  |
| Work Item \# | Work Item | Price per Unit | Unit |  |  | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost |



## ASSUMPTIONS:

1 This project will construct a compact single-lane roundabout (RAB) at SR 202 and Tolt Hill Rd with a 100' diameter (ICD).
and an 16 ' wide circulating lane. Features include a fully-mountable central island and pre-cast mountable raised splitter islands with pedestrian cut-throughs.
2 This project will need approximately 78 working days to complete all work.
3 Adjust Sales Tax to $10 \%$ per Washington State Department of Revenue website at the date of this estimate.
4 Construction Engineering adjusted to $13 \%$ and contingencies adjusted to $4 \%$ per Plans Preparation Manual dated November 2013.
5 Preliminary Engineering adjusted to $10 \%$ to match average historical PE cost of previous roundabout projects.
6 Removal of Raised Pavement Markers, Pavement Markings, Plastic Lines, and Paint Lines are incidental to the Planing Bituminous Pavement work. Incidental is defined as "liable to happen as a consequence of."
7 Preliminary review of ROW identified some conflicts due to - some portions of thre RAB to be constructed outside of thr existing roadway prism.
9 Illumination System, ITS, and Permanent Signing lump sum costs provided by NWR Traffic Design.
10 Used $40 \%$ Misc. due to no survey and dificult location due to the steep grade on Tolt Hill Rd.

## CALCULATION WORKSHEET - SECTION 1

| SR: | 202 | MP: | 15.49 | to | MP : | 15.68 | Prepared By: | Andrea Dabbs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Title: | SR202/Tolt Hill Rd - RAB |  |  |  |  |  | Date: | 8/20/2019 |
| WIN: | A202XXX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PIN: | 1202XXX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Assumptions

Circular single-lane RAB with ICD of 100 plus 6' Shoulders.
Central Island cross section $=0.92^{\prime}$ Textured \& Pigmented Cement Concrete Pavement over 0.50' CSBC (metric C-9219) Splitter Island cross section $=0.25^{\prime}$ Commercial HMA over 0.25' CSBC (metric C-8882, traffic island detail)
Ped Cut Through cross section $=4^{\prime \prime}\left(0.33^{\prime}\right)$ Cement Conc. Sidewalk over 0.30' CSBC (metric C-8882, traffic island detail)
Existing SR 202 shoulder where RAB footprint encroaches is full depth pavement per roadway sections on C-5302.

## LANE MILE CALCULATION

## PREPARATION

25 Clearing and Grubbing, Acre

| Location | MP | MP | Width (ft) | Length (ft) | SF | Acres |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SR 202 Wye Lt | 15.54 | 15.60 | 87 | 324 | 14094 | 0.32 |
| SR 202 Rt | 15.56 | 15.65 | 20 | 475 | 4752 | 0.11 |
| SR 202/Tolt Hill Rd | 15.61 | 15.68 | 20 | 370 | 3696 | 0.08 |
| Tolt Hill Road Wye | 15.49 | 15.57 | 10 | 422 | 2112 | 0.05 |
| Tolt Hill Rd Rt |  |  | 10 | 300 | 1500 | 0.03 |
| Tolt Hill Rd Lt |  |  | 10 | 150 | 750 | 0.02 |
|  |  |  |  | Total $=$ |  | 0.00 |
| , assume \# of Ramps | 055: |  |  |  |  | 0.62 |

## 0050 Removal of Structures and Obstructions

| Removal of miscellaneous obstructions | $\$ 10,000$ |
| :--- | :--- |


\section*{0170 Removing Guardrail, LF <br> | Type | Begin MP | End MP | Side | Dist (LF) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SR 202/Tolt Hill Rd Wye |  |  |  | 320 |
| Terminals |  |  |  | 76 |
| Transition |  |  |  |  |
| LF |  |  |  |  |

*Assume 38 LF/terminal; 20 LF/ transition section}

## 0182 Removing Guardrail Anchor, EA

Same as Beam Guardrail Anchor Type BI 6774: 0 EA

GRADING


0431 Gravel Borrow including Haul Incl. Haul, Ton

| Location | SF | Avg. Width <br> (a) | Length (b) <br> (FT) | Depth <br> (FT) | Vol. <br> (CY) | Vol. <br> (Tons) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SR 202 MP 15.49 to 15.68 (New | 39072.00 | 44 | 888 | 1.75 | 2532 | 4685 |
| RAB Fill at center of RAB/1' Fil at the beg and end. |  | 112 | 3.50 | 1277 | 2363 |  |
| RAB 100 ICD plus shldrs. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tolt Hill Rd (New Profile) | 19000.00 | 38 | 500 | 0.50 | 352 | 651 |
| Tolt Hill Rd Wye | 16200.00 | 36 | 450 | 0.50 | 300 | 555 |

0471 Embankment Compaction, CY

| Location | SF | Width (a) <br> (FT) | Length (b) <br> (FT) | Depth <br> (FT) | Vol. <br> (CY) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Same as Gravel Borrow CY |  |  |  |  | 4461 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## STORM SEWER

## 3091 Catch Basin Type 1

| Notes |  |  |  |  | EA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 6 |

## CALCULATION WORKSHEET - SECTION 1

| SR: | 202 | MP: | 15.49 | to | MP | 15.68 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Title: SR202/Tolt Hill Rd - RAB |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WIN: | A202XXX |  |  |  |  |  |
| PIN: | 1202XXX |  |  |  |  |  |

Prepared By: Andrea Dabbs
Date 8/20/2019

PIN: $\frac{\text { A202XXX }}{1202 X X X}$


## HOT MIX ASPHALT

## 5711 Planing Bituminous Pavement, SY

| *Area determined using SRview and Google Maps |
| :--- |
| Alignment Begin MP End MP Width <br> (FT) Length <br> (FT) Area (SF)Area <br> (SY) |
| SR 202 |
| SR 202 |
| Tolt Hill Rd |

5767 HMA for Mainline, Ton

enchroaches onto existing shoulder -- cross section 0.35' HMA over 0.35' CSBC
5875 Commercial HMA

| Location | Area (SF) | Depth (ft) | Volume (CY) | Tons | 25' long x 10 ' wide splitter, minus 6 ' wide ped cut through 175' long x 10' wide splitter, minus 6 ' wide ped cut through $175^{\prime}$ long x 10 ' wide splitter, minus 6 ' wide ped cut through 40' ICD <br> Tons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N Splitter Island (Tolt Hill Rd) | 190 | 0.25 | 2 | 4 |  |
| W Splitter Island (SR 202) | 1690 | 0.25 | 16 | 32 |  |
| E Splitter Island (SR 202) | 1690 | 0.25 | 16 | 32 |  |
| Central Island | 1256 | 0.25 | 12 | 24 |  |
| Fill splitter islands with commer |  |  |  | 92 |  |

Splitter Island cross section $=0.25^{\prime}$ Commercial HMA over $0.25^{\prime}$ CSBC (metric C-8882, traffic island detail)

## CEMENT CONCRETE PAVEMENT

xxxx Textured and Pigmented Cement Concrete Pavement, SY

| Location | Width (a) (FT) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Length (b) } \\ & \text { (FT) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | SF | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area } \\ & \text { (SY) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 68 ICD with $14^{\prime}$ apron |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SR 202/Wye Island |  |  | 1125 | 125 |  |
| SR 202 West Island |  |  | 450 | 50 |  |
| Driveway Island |  |  | 40 | 4 |  |
| Tolt Wye Island |  |  | 3325 | 369 |  |
| Tolt Island |  |  | 375 | 42 |  |
| SR 202 East Island |  |  | 3860 | 429 |  |
| Central Island Apron (14') |  |  | 2374 | 264 |  |
| RAB West Island |  |  | 525 | 58 |  |
|  | Total |  |  | 1342 |  |

[^14]
## CALCULATION WORKSHEET - SECTION 1

$\begin{aligned} & \text { SR: } \frac{202}{\text { MP: }} \\ & \text { Title: } \\ & \text { WR202/Tolt Hill Rd }- \text { RAB } \\ & \text { PIN: } \frac{\text { A202 XXX }}{1202 \times X X}\end{aligned}$
$: \frac{\text { A202XXX }}{1202 X X X}$
Prepared By: Andrea Dabbs
Date: $\qquad$

| 6403 | ESC Lead, Day | 39 | Days |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6490 | Erosion / Water Pollution Control, LS | \$15,000 | LS |


| 6635 High Visibility Silt Fence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location Length (ft) <br> Tolt Hill Rd 750 <br> SR 202 (north side) 750 <br> SR 202 (south side) 1200 <br>  Total $=$ <br>  2700 |$\ggg$ LF

## TRAFFIC

| Roadway Markings/Curb |  |  | From SR View \& Google Maps | Quantities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6884 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 6807 | 6871 | 6833 | 9238 | 6840 | 6857 | 6847 | 6881 |  |
| Begin MP | End MP | Notes |  | Stripes | Plastic Line | Plastic <br> Traffic <br> Letter | Plastic Traffic Arrows | Plastic Yield Line Symbol | Sloped Mountable Curb | Plastic Crosswalk Line | Plastic Wide <br> Dotted Entry Line | Plastic Drainage Marker | Raised Pavement Marker |
| 15.49 | 15.55 | CL, Edge | 4 | 1,267 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 8 |
| 15.55 | 15.58 | CL, Edge | 4 | 634 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
| 15.59 | 15.68 | CL, Edge | 4 | 1,901 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 12 |
| Tolt Hill Wye |  | Edge |  | 256 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tolt Hill Rd |  | CL | 2 | 422 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| Tolt Hill Rd |  | Edge | 2 | 550 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAB |  | Edge | 1 | 314 |  |  | 18 |  |  | 18 |  |  |
| Driveway |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Quantity: Units: |  |  |  | 5,344 | 0 | 5 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 6 | 0.25 |
|  |  |  |  | LF | EA | EA | EA | LF | SF | LF | EA | EA |

## 6699 Roundabout Cement Concrete Curb 4 inch, LF (For Islands)

| Notes |  | Length |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SR 202/Wye Island |  | 420 |  |  |  |
| SR 202 West Island |  | 200 |  |  |  |
| Driveway Island |  | 30 |  |  |  |
| Tolt Wye Island |  | 520 |  |  |  |
| Tolt Island |  | 105 |  |  |  |
| SR 202 East Island | 470 |  |  |  |  |
| RAB West Island | 250 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1,995 |

6702 Mountable Cement Concrete Traffic Curb, LF

| Notes | Length (b) <br> (FT) | Length |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SR 202 West Island | 132 | 132 |
| RAB West Island | 55 | 55 |
|  |  | 187 |
|  |  |  |

## 6708 Roundabout Central Island Cement Concrete Curb, LF



## 6709 Roundabout Truck Apron Cement Concrete Curb (2 Inch), LF



## 6904 Illumination System

Cost estimate provided by NWR Traffic Design Duke Do on 9/9/2019.
Total Cost $=\$ 50,000 \quad$ L.S.
6914 ITS

Cost estimate provided by NWR Traffic Design Duke Do on 9/9/2019.
Total Cost $=\$ 111,000 \quad$ L.S.

## CALCULATION WORKSHEET - SECTION 1



Temporary Pavement Marking - Short Duration

| Notes | Begin MP | End MP | Sum of Lines | Number of <br> Applications | LF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Lines |  |  | 5,344 | 2 |  |
| Item includes removal of temp. markings per Standard Spec. 8-23.5 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Traffic Control

Work Days
Preparation and Removal
Removing/regrading Tolt Hill Rd Walls
Planing / Repair / Paving
Install Traffic Splitter Islands
Install Ped Cut Throughs \& DWS
Construct Central Island
Striping \& Signage
Illumination \& ITS
$\begin{array}{lll} & 4 & \text { Days } \\ \text { Clean-up } & 2\end{array} \begin{gathered}\text { Days } \\ \text { Dassume }\end{gathered}$

## Assumptions for TC

* Production rates based on an 8-hour workday
* Production rates based on an 8-hour workday
* Production rates based on an 8-hour workday
*Grinding @ 9,000 SY / Day; Paving @ 1,200 Tons / Day
*Curbing @ 1,500 LF / Day; Commercial HMA @ 160 CY/day
*1 Ped Cut Through per Day + 1 Day for DWS Install
*CSBC @ 1,000 T / Day; Curbing @ 1,500LF / Day; Concrete Pavement @ 1,200CY / Day
*Striping @ 1,400 LF / Day + 1 Day for Misc. Traffic Markings; All signing in 1 day
* Illumination System @ 5 Days / Pole -- assume work concurrent with Signage \& Ped Cut Throughs

|  |  | Days | Hrs / Day | Qty | Hrs | Unit Cost | Units | Total Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6956 | Sequential Arrow Sign | 78 | 8 | 3 | 1872 | \$7 | HR | \$13,104 |
| 6973 | Other Temporary Traffic Control | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | LS | \$20,000 |
| 6974 | Traffic Control Supervisor | 78 | 8 | 1 | 624 | \$75 | LS | \$46,800 |
| 6980 | Flaggers | 78 | 8 | 3 | 1872 | \$65 | HR | \$121,680 |
| 6982 | Construction Signing Class A | N/A | N/A | 144 | N/A | \$25 | SF | \$3,600 |
| 6992 | Other Traffic Control Labor | 78 | 8 | 2 | 1248 | \$65 | HR | \$81,120 |
| 6993 | Portable Changeable Message Sign | 78 | 8 | 3 | 1872 | \$10 | HR | \$18,720 |
| 7447 | Transportable Attenuator | N/A | N/A | 2 | N/A | \$18,000 | EA | \$36,000 |
| 7449 | Operation of TA | 78 | 8 | 2 | 1248 | \$70 | HR | \$87,360 |
| 7450 | Repair TA | N/A | N/A | 1 | N/A | \$8,000 | EST | \$8,000 |
| xxxx | Contractor Provided Uniformed Police Officer | 15 | 8 | 2 | 240 | \$120 | HR | \$28,800 |

* "Other Temporary Traffic Control" covers traffic drums, cones, contractor piloted vehicle, etc.


## OTHER

| 7006 | Structure Excavation Class B Including Haul, CY |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $18^{\prime \prime}$ Pipe | $1.5 \times \mathrm{D}+1.5 \quad 7001 \mathrm{f} 7$ ' depth |

## 7054 Ramp Detectable Warning Retrofit, SF

*Ramp detectable warning retrofit area assumed at 10 SF per ramp.

| Notes | Begin MP | \# of Ramps | Area (SF) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Three Splitter Islands with ped cut through in each - DWS on each entry point. |  |  |  |
| Splitter Islands | 6 | 60 | Thre |


| Sidewalk \& Curb/Gutter | 6700 | 6707 | 7055 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alignment $\quad$ Begin MP | Conc Curb and Gutter | Conc Pedestrian Curb | Conc Sidewalk |  |
| N Splitter Island (Tolt Hill Rd) |  | 20 | 6 | Ped cut through 5' x $10{ }^{\prime}$ |
| W Splitter Island (SR 202) |  | 20 | 6 | Ped cut through 5' x 10' |
| E Splitter Island (SR 202) |  | 20 | 6 | Ped cut through 5' x 10' |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 60 | 17 |  |
|  | LF | LF | SY |  |

# ROUNDABOUT ESTIMATE - BREAKDOWN BY UNIT BID ITEM 



| Contingencies | 4.0\% |  |  | \$ | 61,805.12 | \$ | 263,696.00 | \$ | 325,501.12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public Outreach | \$0 | LS | 0 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Temporary Video Detection System | \$10,000 | LS | 0 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  |
| (800) State Force Work/Supplied Materials |  |  |  | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |  |
| Construction Total: |  |  |  | \$ | 1,807,799.85 | \$ | 7,713,108.01 | \$ | 9,520,907.86 |
| Preliminary Engineering | 10.0\% |  |  | \$ | 154,512.81 | \$ | 659,240.00 | \$ | 813,752.81 |
| Preliminary Engineering Total: |  |  |  | \$ | 154,512.81 | \$ | 659,240.00 | \$ | 813,752.81 |
| Right of Way |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Easements | Busin | s Access |  | \$ | 20,000.00 | \$ | - | \$ | 20,000.00 |
| Administration |  | Parcel | 0 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Condemnation |  |  |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Relocation |  |  |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Right of Way Total: |  |  |  | \$ | 20,000.00 | \$ | - | \$ | 20,000.00 |
| Total Cost of Project: |  |  |  | \$ | 1,982,312.65 | \$ | 8,372,348.01 | \$ | 10,354,660.67 |

## ASSUMPTIONS:

1 This project will need approximately 123 working days to complete all work
2 Adjust Sales Tax to $8.7 \%$ per Washington State Department of Revenue website at the date of this estimate.
3 Construction Engineering adjusted to $13 \%$ and contingencies adjusted to 4\% per Ebase User's Guide August 2019
$4 \frac{\text { Preliminary Engineering adjusted to } 10 \% \text { per Cost Estimating Manual for Projects December } 2020 .}{\text { Based on BlueBeam Sketchup }}$
5 Based on BlueBeam Sketchup
6 Highlighted Line on BlueBeam Sketch shows delineation between preserving existing pavement and demoing all pavement
7
8
8
9

## PAVING（P1）SCOPING FILE CHECKLIST



Title SR 202／Preston Fall City Rd SE Roundabout，Parking and Sidewalk Improvement

Scoped By：Lucas Rogers
Reviewed By：John Crawford
Reviewed By： $\qquad$

Date：7／20／2021
Date：TBD
Date： $\qquad$
Project Summary Region Review Package（Required）
Project Definition
Design Decisions Summary
HQ Review
Environmental Review Summary
Package

Project Vicinity Map
Project Cost Estimate Summary
Detailed Quantity Calculations for Each Estimate Item

PDIS Schedule
PEO Comments

## Scoping File Documentation（Required）

Roadside Restoration Worksheet
$\square$ Design Variance Inventory


Support Group Documentation：
Preliminary Surfacing Recommendation
WSPMS Listing
Support Group Correspondence：$\square E N V I R O N M E N T A L \square$ BRIDGE DESIGN $\square M A I N T E N A N C E$
$\square$ MATERIALS
$\square R / W \square R / W$ UPDATE口TRAFFICUTILITIES口OTHER $\qquad$
HAC／HAL Reviews
Field Review Notes and Pictures
Existing Utility Locations
Access Permits

## Additional Scoping Information（Optional）

As－Built and Right of Way Plans
Conversation Records and Email Correspondence
Plan Sheets
Resurfacing Project Definition

# CALCULATION WORKSHEET - SECTION 1 

SR 18 MP 19.89
Title: $\overline{\text { SR 202/Preston Fall City Rd SE Roundabout, Parking and Sidewalk Im }}$
Prepared By: $\qquad$ WIN: A202XXX
PIN: 1202 XXX

## LANE MILE CALCULATION

| Alignment | Begin MP | End MP | Type | Length | \# of Lanes | Lane Miles |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SR 202 | 21.67 | 21.76 | Thru | 0.09 | 2 | 0.18 |  |
| Preston-Fall | 0.00 | 0.04 | Thru | 0.04 | 2 | 0.08 |  |

## PREPARATION <br> 0050 Removal of Structures and Obstructions

Removal of signs and poles
145 Removing Concrete Barrier, LF

| Location | MP | MP | SF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SR 202 | 21.70 | 21.75 | 244 |
|  |  |  | 244 |
|  |  |  |  |

Remove existing 100 ' $\times 10$ ' area - to be replaced by splitter island.

## 150 Removing Traffic Island, SY

| Location | MP | Area (SY) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SR 202 |  | 42 |
| South Leg |  | 12 |
|  |  | 42 |


| 0310 Roadway Excavation Incl. Haul, CY |  |  |  |  |  | Mountable center island 50'x50' + $3^{\prime}$ for curb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | MP | Width (ft) | Length (ft) | Depth (ft) | Vol. (CY) |  |
| Central Island |  | 53 | 53 | 1.00 | 82 |  |
| NW quadrant sidewalk buffer |  | 11 | 245 | 1.00 | 78 | CY |
| SW quadrant sidewalk buffer |  | 6 | 130 | 1.00 | 23 |  |
| Total $=18$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## SURFACING

5100 Crushed Surfacing Base Course, TON


Central Island cross section $=0.92^{\prime}$ Textured \& Pigmented Cement Concrete Pavement over 0.50' CSBC (metric C-9219)
Splitter Island cross section $=0.25^{\prime}$ Commercial HMA over $0.25^{\prime}$ CSBC (metric C-8882, traffic island detail)

## HOT MIX ASPHALT

| 5711 |
| :---: |
| *Area determined using SRview and |


| *Area determined using SRview and Google Maps |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alignment | Begin MP | End MP | Width (a) <br> (FT) | Length (b) <br> (FT) | Area <br> (SF) | Area <br> (SY) |
| SR 202 | 21.67 | 21.76 | 32 | 475 | 15,206 | 1,690 |
| Preston-Fall | 0.00 | 0.04 | 32 | 211 | 6,758 | 751 |

## 5767 HMA for Mainline, Ton



## 5875 Commercial HMA

| Location | Area (SF) | Depth (ft) | Volume <br> $(\mathrm{CY})$ | Tons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W Splitter Island | 360 | 0.25 | 3 | 7 |
| N Splitter Island | 1100 | 0.25 | 10 | 21 |
| S Splitter Island | 300 | 0.25 | 3 | 6 |
| S Curb | 200 | 0.25 | 2 | 4 |


| Fill splitter islands with commercial HMA |
| :---: |
| Splitter Island cross section $=0.25^{\prime}$ Commercial HMA over $0.25^{\prime}$ CSBC (metric C-8882, traffic island detail) |

$\square$ Textured and Pigmented Cement Concrete Pavement, SY

| Location | Width (a) <br> (FT) | Length (b) <br> (FT) | Area <br> (SY) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Central Island | 50 | 50 | 218 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NW quadrant sidewalk buffer | 4 | 245 | 85 |
| SW quadrant buffer | 6 | 130 | 68 |
|  | Total $=$ | 372 |  |
|  | SY |  |  |

Central Island cross section $=0.92^{\prime}$ Textured \& Pigmented Cement Concrete Pavement over 0.50' CSBC (metric C-9219)
EROSION CONTROL \& PLANTING

| 6403 | ESC Lead, Day |  |  | 18 | Days |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6471 Inlet Protection, EA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| As viewed using SRView \& Google Maps |  |  |  | 9 | EA |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6490 | Erosion / Water Pollution Control, LS |  |  | \$5,000 | LS |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6635 | High Visibility Silt Fence |  |  | 1000 | LF |  |  |  |  |  |
| TRAFFIC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Roadway Markings/Curb $\quad$ From SR View \& |  |  | Quantities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 6807 | 6871 | 6833 | 9238 | 6840 | 6857 | 6847 | 6881 |
| Begin MP | End MP | Notes | Plastic Line | Plastic Traffic Letter | Plastic <br> Traffic <br> Arrows | Plastic Yield Line Symbol | Sloped Mountable Curb | Plastic Crosswalk Line | Plastic Wide Dotted Entry Line | Plastic Drainage Marker |
|  |  | W Splitter Island | 92 |  |  |  | 92 | 192 |  |  |
|  |  | N Splitter Island | 240 |  |  |  | 240 |  |  |  |
|  |  | S Splitter Island | 80 |  |  |  | 80 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Parking Stalls | 240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | West Leg | 240 | 5 | 1 | 6 |  | 192 | 16 |  |
|  |  | North Leg | 240 | 5 | 1 | 6 |  |  | 16 |  |
|  |  | South Leg | 210 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 100 |  | 16 |  |
| Total Quantity: Units: |  |  | 1,342 | 15 | 3 | 18 | 512 | 384 | 48 | 0 |
|  |  |  | LF | EA | EA | EA | LF | SF | LF | EA |


| 6708 Roundabout Central Island Cement Concrete Curb 2 Inch, LF |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Notes | Width (a) (FT) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length (b) } \\ \text { (FT) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Length |
| Central Island | 50 | 50 | 157 |
| NW quadrant sidewalk buffer |  |  | 245 |
| SW quadrant buffer |  |  | 130 |
|  |  |  | 532 |

## xxxx Painted Marking of Island

| Location | Area (SF) | Area same as Item \#5875 Commercial HMA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W Splitter Island | 360 |  |
| N Splitter Island | 1100 |  |
| S Splitter Island | 300 | " |
| S Curb | 200 | " |
|  | 1,960 | SF |

Paint Splitter Island HMA for contrast. Use contract 8846 bid item as reference (Qty 2,126, Engineer est. $=\$ 2 /$ SF low bidder $=\$ 1.1 /$ SF

## 6904 Illumination System

Illumination System cost estimate based on a similar roundabout project provided $\quad$ Total Cost $=\quad \$ 155,000 \quad$ L.S.
by NWR Traffic Duke Do.
Includes $\$ 60,000$ for Illumination, $\$ 45,000$ for Camera, $\$ 45,000$ for Data, and $\$ 5,000$ for Comm

## 6890 Permanent Signing

Use contract 9219 (SR 9/Francis Road Intersection Improvements) as reference for permanent signage $\quad$ Total Cost $=\$ 20,000 \quad$ L.S. needed at roundabout: Engineer Est. $=\$ 11,000 ;$ Low Bidder $=\$ 24,000$ ); use $\$ 20,000$

6895 Temporary Pavement Marking - Short Duration

| Notes | Begin MP | End MP | Sum of Lines | Number of <br> Applications | LF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Edge Lines |  |  | 412 | 2 | 824 |
| Lane Lines |  |  | 690 | 2 | 1,380 |
| Item includes removal of temp. markings per Standard Spec. 8-23.5 | SAY | 2,204 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Traffic Control

## Work Days

Assumptions for TC
Preparation and Removal
Planing / Repair / Paving
Install Traffic Splitter Islands Install Ped Cut Throughs \& DWS
Construct Central Island
Striping \& Signage
Illumination
Clean-up
Assume

* Production rates based on an 8-hour workday
*Grinding @ 9,000 SY / Day; Paving @ 1,200 Tons / Day
*Curbing @ 1,200 LF / Day; Commercial HMA @ 150 CY / Day; Painting @ 10,000 SF / Day
*1 Ped Cut Through per Day + 1 Day for DWS Install
*CSBC @ 1,000 T / Day; Curbing @ 1,200LF / Day; Concrete Pavement @ 1,000CY / Day
*Striping @ 5,000 LF / Day plus 3 day for Misc.; All signing in 1 day
* Illumination System @ 5 Days / Pole -- assume work concurrent with Signage \& Ped Cut Throughs

|  |  | Days | Hrs / Day | Qty | Hrs | Unit Cost | Units | Total Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6956 | Sequential Arrow Sign | 35 | 10 | 3 | 1050 | \$7 | HR | \$7,350 |
| 6973 | Other Temporary Traffic Control | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | LS | \$20,000 |
| 6974 | Traffic Control Supervisor | 35 | 10 | 1 | 350 | \$75 | LS | \$26,250 |
| 6980 | Flaggers | 35 | 10 | 3 | 1050 | \$70 | HR | \$73,500 |
| 6982 | Construction Signing Class A | N/A | N/A | 144 | N/A | \$25 | SF | \$3,600 |
| 6992 | Other Traffic Control Labor | 35 | 10 | 2 | 700 | \$70 | HR | \$49,000 |
| 6993 | Portable Changeable Message Sign | 35 | 10 | 3 | 1050 | \$10 | HR | \$10,500 |
| 7447 | Transportable Attenuator | N/A | N/A | 2 | N/A | \$18,000 | EA | \$36,000 |
| 7449 | Operation of TA | 35 | 10 | 2 | 700 | \$70 | HR | \$49,000 |
| 7450 | Repair TA | N/A | N/A | 1 | N/A | \$8,000 | EST | \$8,000 |

## 7054 Ramp Det

| Notes | Begin MP | \# of Ramps | Area (SF) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 6 | 60 |


| Sidewalk \& Curb/Gutter | 6700 | 6707 | 7055 | 7060 | Asphalt wede sidewalk 245 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alignment | Conc Curb and Gutter | Conc Pedestrian Curb | Conc Sidewalk | Asphalt <br> Sidewalk |  |
|  | 150 | 16 | 116 | 0 |  |
| Additional SW Quad. <br> NW quadrant sidewalk buffer |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 53 \\ 163 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 17 |  |
|  | 150 | 16 | 332 | 17 |  |
|  | LF | LF | SY | SY |  |

## 7058

| Cross Street | MP | Ramp ID's | \# of Ramps | \# of Signs* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

# CALCULATION WORKSHEET - SECTION 1 <br> SR 18 MP 19.89 



```
Title: SR 202/Preston Fall City Rd SE Roundabout, Parking and Sidewalk Im
WIN:
A202XXX
PIN:
``` \(\qquad\)
```

Prepared By: Lucas Rogers
Date: 7/20/2021

```

LANE MILE CALCULATION
\begin{tabular}{c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Alignment & Begin MP & End MP & Type & Length & \# of Lanes & Lane Miles & Notes \\
\hline SR 202 & 21.29 & 21.89 & Thru & 0.60 & 2 & 1.20 & & \\
\hline & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{PREPARATION}

0050 Removal of Structures and Obstructions
Removal of signs and poles

\section*{145 Removing Concrete Barrier, LF}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Location & MP & MP & SF \\
\hline SR 202 & 21.70 & 21.75 & 244 \\
\cline { 2 - 4 } & & & 0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Remove existing 100 ' \(\times 10\) ' area - to be replaced by splitter island.

\section*{150 Removing Traffic Island, SY}


0310 Roadway Excavation Incl. Haul, CY


\section*{SURFACING}

5100 Crushed Surfacing Base Course, TON
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Location & Area (SF) & & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Depth \\
\((\mathrm{FT})\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Volume \\
\((\mathrm{CY})\)
\end{tabular} & Tons \\
\hline Roadway & 65441 & & 0.50 & 1212 & 2242 \\
\hline Sidewalks & 46442 & & 0.50 & 860 & 1591 \\
\cline { 5 - 6 } & & & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & & & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & & & 0 & 0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Central Island cross section \(=0.92^{\prime}\) Textured \& Pigmented Cement Concrete Pavement over 0.50' CSBC (metric C-9219)
Splitter Island cross section \(=0.25\) ' Commercial HMA over 0.25' CSBC (metric C-8882, traffic island detail)

\section*{HOT MIX ASPHALT}

\section*{5711 Planing Bituminous Pavement, SY}
*Area determined using SRview and Google Maps


\section*{5767 HMA for Mainline, Ton}


5875 Commercial HMA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Location & Area (SF) & Depth (ft) & Volume (CY) & Tons & \multirow{5}{*}{6 ' wide ped cut through} \\
\hline & & 0.25 & 0 & 0 & \\
\hline & & 0.25 & 0 & 0 & \\
\hline & & 0.25 & 0 & 0 & \\
\hline & & 0.25 & 0 & 0 & \\
\hline Fill splitter islands with commercial HMA & & & & 0 & Tons \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{CEMENT CONCRETE PAVEMENT}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Location & Width (a) (FT) & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Length (b) } \\
\text { (FT) }
\end{gathered}
\] & Area (SY) \\
\hline & & & 0 \\
\hline & & & 0 \\
\hline & & & 0 \\
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Total \(=\)} & 0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Central Island cross section \(=0.92^{\prime}\) Textured \& Pigmented Cement Concrete Pavement over 0.50' CSBC (metric C-9219)
EROSION CONTROL \& PLANTING
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 6403 & ESC Lead, Day & 45 & Days \\
\hline 6471 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Inlet Protection, EA \\
As viewed using SRView \& Google Maps
\end{tabular}} & & \\
\hline & & 9 & EA \\
\hline 6490 & Erosion / Water Pollution Control, LS & \$5,000 & LS \\
\hline 6635 & High Visibility Silt Fence & 1000 & LF \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{TRAFFIC}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{Roadway Markings/Curb}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{From SR View \& Geoportal} & \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{Quantities} \\
\hline & & & 6807 & 6863 & 6833 & 6822 & 6841 & 6840 & 6857 & 6859 & 6881 \\
\hline Begin MP & End MP & Notes & Plastic Line & \begin{tabular}{l}
Plastic \\
Access \\
Parking \\
Space
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Plastic \\
Traffic \\
Arrows
\end{tabular} & Plastic Crosshatch Marking & \begin{tabular}{l}
Precast Dual \\
Faced \\
Sloped \\
Mountable \\
Curb
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Precast \\
Sloped Mountable Curb
\end{tabular} & Plastic Crosswalk Line & Plastic Stop Line & Plastic Drainage Marker \\
\hline & & Roadway & 2,132 & & 4 & 678 & 687 & 681 & 3710 & 132 & \\
\hline & & Parking & 1,860 & 7 & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & Total Quantity: & 3,992 & 7 & 4 & 678 & 687 & 681 & 3,710 & 132 & 0 \\
\hline & & Units: & LF & EA & EA & EA & LF & LF & SF & LF & EA \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

6708 Roundabout Central Island Cement Concrete Curb 2 Inch, LF


\section*{xxxx Painted Marking of Island}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Location & Area (SF) \\
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{ Area same as Item \#5875 Commercial HMA } \\
\hline W Splitter Island & 0 \\
\hline N Splitter Island & 0 \\
\hline S Splitter Island & 0 \\
\hline S Curb & 0 \\
\hline & 0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Paint Splitter Island HMA for contrast. Use contract 8846 bid item as reference (Qty 2,126, Engineer est. \(=\$ 2 /\) SF low bidder \(=\$ 1.1 / S F\)

\section*{6904 Illumination System}

Illumination System cost estimate based on a similar roundabout project provided Total Cost \(=\$ 155,000\) L.S.
by NWR Traffic Duke Do.
Includes \(\$ 60,000\) for Illumination, \(\$ 45,000\) for Camera, \(\$ 45,000\) for Data, and \(\$ 5,000\) for Comm

\section*{6890 Permanent Signing}

Use contract 9219 (SR 9/Francis Road Intersection Improvements) as reference for permanent signage Total Cost \(=\square \$ 20,000\) needed at roundabout: Engineer Est. \(=\$ 11,000 ;\) Low Bidder \(=\$ 24,000\) ); use \(\$ 20,000\)

6895 Temporary Pavement Marking - Short Duration
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Notes & Begin MP & End MP & Sum of Lines & Number of Applications & LF \\
\hline Edge Lines & & & 3,992 & 2 & 7,983 \\
\hline Lane Lines & & & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|r|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Item includes removal of temp. markings per Standard Spec. 8-23.5}} & & 7,983 \\
\hline & & & & SAY & 8,000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Traffic Control}

\section*{Work Days}

Preparation and Removal Excavation
Paving
Sidewalk \& ADA \& Curbing
Landscaping
Striping \& Signage
Clean-up
Assume \(\xlongequal{2}\)\begin{tabular}{l}
29 \\
Days \\
Days \\
Days
\end{tabular}

\section*{Assumptions for TC}

3 days mob, 5 days miscellaneous
1500/CY per day
1500 Ton/Day
1500 LF/ Day curb, 500 SY/ Day sidewalk, 2 days/EA Curb Ramps
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & Days & Hrs / Day & Qty & Hrs & Unit Cost & Units & Total Cost \\
\hline 6956 & Sequential Arrow Sign & 89.2410444 & 10 & 3 & 2677 & \$7 & HR & \$18,741 \\
\hline 6973 & Other Temporary Traffic Control & N/A & N/A & N/A & N/A & N/A & LS & \$20,000 \\
\hline 6974 & Traffic Control Supervisor & 89.2410444 & 10 & 1 & 892 & \$75 & LS & \$66,931 \\
\hline 6980 & Flaggers & 89.2410444 & 10 & 3 & 2677 & \$70 & HR & \$187,406 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 6982 & Construction Signing Class A & N/A & N/A & 144 & N/A & \$25 & SF & \$3,600 \\
\hline 6992 & Other Traffic Control Labor & 89.2410444 & 10 & 2 & 1785 & \$70 & HR & \$124,937 \\
\hline 6993 & Portable Changeable Message Sign & 89.2410444 & 10 & 3 & 2677 & \$10 & HR & \$26,772 \\
\hline 7447 & Transportable Attenuator & N/A & N/A & 2 & N/A & \$18,000 & EA & \$36,000 \\
\hline 7449 & Operation of TA & 89.2410444 & 10 & 2 & 1785 & \$70 & HR & \$124,937 \\
\hline 7450 & Repair TA & N/A & N/A & 1 & N/A & \$8,000 & EST & \$8,000 \\
\hline \(\mathbf{x x x x}\) & Contractor Provided Uniformed Police Officer & 89.2410444 & 10 & 2 & 1785 & \$120 & HR & \$214,179 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{"Other Temporary Traffic Control" covers traffic drums, cones, contractor piloted vehicle, etc. \(\$ 831,503\)} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{7054 Ramp Detectable Warning Retrofit, SF}
*Ramp detectable warning retrofit area assumed at 10 SF per ramp
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Notes & Begin MP & \# of Ramps & Area (SF) \\
2 & on 338th Pl SE and 4 on Presto-Fall City Road SE \\
\hline & & 6 & 60 \\
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\cline { 3 - 5 } Sidewalk \& Curb/Gutter & \(\mathbf{6 7 0 0}\) & \(\mathbf{6 7 0 7}\) & \(\mathbf{7 0 5 5}\) & \(\mathbf{7 0 6 0}\) \\
\hline Alignment & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Conc Curb and \\
Gutter
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Conc \\
Pedestrian \\
Curb
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Conc \\
Sidewalk
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Asphalt \\
Sidewalk
\end{tabular} \\
\hline All Sidewalks & \multirow{4}{|c|}{5028.4} & & 5160 & \\
\hline Curb and Gutter & & & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Asphalt wedge for SW Quad - 4' both sides of 8' x 60' side walk \\
sidewalk 245 x 6
\end{tabular} \\
\hline & 5,028 & 0 & 5,160 & 0 \\
\hline & LF & LF & SY & SY \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{7058 Cement Conc Curb Ramp Type, EA}

For estimating purposes only, sidewalks were considered ideal to accommodate typical ADA ramp. Designer to determine the appropriate type.
For estimating purposes only, sidewalks were considered ideal to accommodate typical ADA ramp. Designer to determine the appropriate type.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & & & \\
\hline From Blue Beam Sketch & & & & 22 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & & \\
\hline From Blue Beam Sketch & & & & 447 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{PAVEMENT REPAIR CALCULATION}

SR: 202 MP: 21.29 to MP: 21.89 Prepared By: Lucas Rogers
Title: SR 202/Preston Fall City Rd SE Roundabout, Parking and Sidewalk Improvement
Date: 7/20/2021
WIN: A202XXX
PIN: 1202XXX

\section*{PAVEMENT REPAIR CALCULATION}



\section*{0332 Pavement Repair Excavation Including Haul, SY}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Section & Alignment & Area (SY) & \\
\hline 1 & SR 202 & 74 & \\
\hline & & 80 & This is for \(100 \%\) of the total Pavement Repair due to the SY measurement \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{5739 HMA for Pavement Repair CL \(1 / 2\) In PG, TON}

Used Bid Item 5739 for a typical pavement repair material.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Section & Alignment & Depth & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Area \\
(SY)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Quantity \\
(Tons)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c}
\(+5 \%\) \\
(Tons)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \(90 \%\) & SR 202 & 0.15 & 66 & 7 & 7 \\
\hline \(10 \%\) & SR 202 & 1.00 & 7 & 5 & 5 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Appendix 'A' - SR 202 Corridor Study Streetmix Design Concepts}

The following pages in Appendix A show various Streetmix program design concepts that were considered during the SR 202 Study concept development stage.








\section*{SR 202 Corridor Study}

\section*{Appendix " \(B\) "}

\section*{Appendix B of the SR 202 Corridor Study (Fall City/King County) includes documents and presentations from the Stakeholder and Public Engagement done as part of this study.}

\section*{SR 202 Corridor Studies - Survey Questions}

\section*{Introduction}

The Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) is conducting two corridor studies on State Route 202 in east King County. The first is on SR 202 from 244th Avenue Northeast to 324th Avenue Southeast. The second is in Fall City on SR 202 between \(324^{\text {th }}\) and the Snoqualmie River Bridge at the SR 202/203 intersection.

Your feedback will help us understand your concerns and preferences on the corridor. Please take a few minutes to complete this survey and tell us about your experience traveling on SR 202 in these two sections.

For more information, the webpage for these studies is found here:
https://wsdot.wa.gov/planning/studies/sr202/multimodal-planning/home.
For questions about this survey, please contact Thomas A. Noyes - noyest@wsdot.wa.gov

\section*{(Translation links - Spanish)}

First, in considering your travel on SR 202 between 244th Avenue Northeast and downtown Fall City, please review and answer the following questions. [Map of SR 202 Corridor: \(244^{\text {th }}\) to Fall City] (INSERT SR 202 CORRIDOR MAP HERE!)
1. How often do you travel on SR 202 between 244th Avenue Northeast and Fall City?
a. Multiple times each day
b. Daily
c. Weekly
d. Monthly
e. Rarely (several times/year)
f. Never
2. How do you travel on the SR 202 corridor?
a. Private vehicle
b. Public transit - bus
c. Commercial bus/shuttle
d. Motorcycle
e. Bicycle/walk
f. Commercial vehicle (truck)
g. Carpool/vanpool
h. Rideshare (Uber/Lyft/etc.)
i. Personal Mobility Device (scooter/wheelchair/stroller/etc.)
j. Other - please specify
3. Why do you travel on the SR 202 corridor? (Select all that apply)
a. Commute to and from work
b. Commute to and from school
c. Travel for shopping or errands
d. Visiting family and friends
e. To access recreational activities
f. Travel for business and/or freight
g. Other - please specify
4. When you travel on the SR 202 corridor, which zip code
https://www.zipmap.net/Washington/King County/Seattle.htm do you usually start from? If your zip code is not shown on the map, please enter it.

5. When you travel on the SR 202 corridor, which zip code https://www.zipmap.net/Washington/King County/Seattle.htm is typically your destination? Your destination may be within the zip code you started from and please indicate as such. If your zip code is not shown on the map, please enter it.

The following maps show specific segments of the SR 202 corridor between 244th Avenue Northeast and Fall City. We would like to understand where you experience issues or concerns. For the following identified areas, please select the issues that are challenges for you. If you have other thoughts or concerns about particular locations, please describe them in the text box.
6. SR \(202-244\) th Avenue Northeast to Tolt Hill Road (MAP)
a. I don't have challenges on this section of SR 202
b. Concerns or safety issues at specific intersections or driveways
c. Vehicles drive too fast
d. Not enough lighting
e. Issues with passing vehicles
f. Other - please specify
7. Please list specific issues or concerns with intersections (Ames Lake Road, Tolt Hill Road, etc.), driveways, or other locations. [Text box.]
8. SR 202 - Tolt Hill Road Southeast intersection to 324th Avenue Southeast (Fall City limits)] (MAP)
a. I don't have challenges on this section of SR 202
b. Concerns or safety issues at specific intersections or driveways
c. Vehicles drive too fast
d. Not enough lighting
e. Issues with passing vehicles
f. Other - please specify
9. Please list specific issues or concerns with intersections (Southeast \(8^{\text {th }}\) Street, Duthie Hill Road, etc.) driveways, or other locations. Please be as specific as possible. [Text box.]

In this section of our survey, we want to get a sense of how you use SR 202 in Fall City and what issues and concerns you have. There are five geographic segments of SR 202 with a multi-part question for each.
10. SR 202 in Fall City: 324th Avenue Southeast intersection and the Chief Kanim Middle School (INSERT MAP)
a. I don't have challenges on this section of SR 202
b. Issues with pedestrians crossing SR 202 either as a pedestrian or as a driver
c. Issues turning to or from SR 202
d. Vehicles drive too fast
e. Not enough lighting
11. Other - please specify
12. Please list specific issues or concerns with crossing SR 202 as a pedestrian or issues turning to or from Chief Kanim Middle School or \(324^{\text {th }}\) Avenue Southeast.
13. SR 202 in Fall City: 332nd Avenue Southeast intersection vicinity (MAP)
a. I don't have challenges on this section of SR 202
b. Issues with pedestrians crossing SR 202 either as a pedestrian or as a driver
c. Issues turning to or from SR 202
d. Vehicles drive too fast for conditions
e. Not enough lighting
f. Other - please specify
14. Please list specific issues or concerns with crossing SR 202 as a pedestrian or issues turning to or from intersections or driveways.
15. Section Three of SR 202 in Fall City: Fall City Elementary School, \(334^{\text {th }}\) Place Southeast, and Southeast \(42^{\text {nd }}\) Place intersection (MAP)
a. a. I do not have challenges on this section of SR 202
b. Issues with pedestrians crossing SR 202 either as a pedestrian or as a driver
c. Issues turning to or from SR 202
d. Vehicles drive too fast for conditions
e. Parking related issues
f. Not enough lighting
g. Other - please specify
16. Please list specific issues or concerns with crossing SR 202 as a pedestrian or issues turning to or from intersections ( \(334^{\text {th }}\) Place Southeast, Southeast \(42^{\text {nd }}\) Place, etc.) or driveways.
17. Section Four of SR 202 in Fall City: Downtown Business District, \(335^{\text {th }}\) Place Southeast to \(338^{\text {th }}\) Place Southeast in central Fall City (MAP)
a. I do not have challenges on this section of SR 202
b. Issues with pedestrians crossing SR 202 either as a pedestrian or as a driver
c. Issues turning to or from SR 202
d. Vehicles drive too fast for conditions
e. Parking related issues
f. Issues walking along SR 202 next to the Snoqualmie River
g. Not enough lighting
h. Other - please specify
18. Please list specific issues or concerns related to pedestrian crossings, walking along SR 202, or issues turning to or from intersections ( \(335^{\text {th }}\) Place Southeast, \(338^{\text {th }}\) Place Southeast, etc.) or driveways.
19. Section Five of SR 202 in Fall City: Preston/Fall City Road intersection and the Snoqualmie River Bridge crossing
a. I do not have challenges on this section of SR 202
b. Issues with pedestrians crossing SR 202 either as a pedestrian or as a driver
c. Issues turning to or from SR 202
d. Vehicles drive too fast
e. Parking related issues
f. Issues walking along SR 202 next to or across the Snoqualmie River
g. Not enough lighting
h. Other - please specify
20. Please list specific issues or concerns related to pedestrian crossings, walking along SR 202, or issues turning to or from intersections (Preston-Fall City Road) or driveways.

\section*{Optional Demographic Questions}

WSDOT is interested in hearing from a wide range of people. By answering the following demographic questions, you will help us better understand who is participating in the study. Your answers are optional and confidential. We will combine your answers with others for analysis only.
21. Are you willing to answer optional demographic questions?
a. Yes
b. No
22. How did you hear about the study/survey?
a. Email
b. Social media
c. Word of mouth
d. At your place of work
e. At your school
f. News media (radio, newspaper)
23. What is your age?
a. Under 18
b. 19-24
c. 25-34
d. 35-44
e. 45-54
f. 55-64
g. 65+
24. How do you identify? (Please check all that apply)
a. Black/African-American
b. Hispanic, Latinx, or Spanish origin
c. Asian/Asian-American
d. White/Caucasian
e. American or Alaska Native/Indigenous
f. Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander
g. Other
h. Prefer not to answer
25. Do you have limited mobility that affects your ability to travel along SR 900?
a. I do not have limited mobility
b. Limited sight
c. Limited hearing
d. I use assistive mobility devices
e. Other: (text box)
f. Prefer not to answer
26. What is your approximate yearly household income?
a. \(\$ 0\) to \(\$ 24,999\)
b. \(\$ 25,000\) to less than \(\$ 49,999\)
c. \(\$ 50,000\) to less than \(\$ 74,999\)
d. \$75,000 to less than \$99,999
e. \(\$ 100,000\) to less than \(\$ 124,999\)
f. \(\$ 150,000\) to \(\$ 174,999\)
g. \$175,999 to \$199,999
h. \$200,000 and up
27. What is the highest level of school you have completed or the highest degree you have received?
a. Less than high school degree
b. High school degree or equivalent (e.g., GED)
c. Some college but no degree
d. Associate degree
e. Bachelor's degree
f. Master's degree
g. Trade school
h. Other - please specify

\section*{जै WSDOT}

\section*{SR 202 Corridor Studies}

244 th Avenue NE to 324 th Avenue \(\operatorname{SE}\) SR 202 within Fall City

Thomas Noyes
Senior Transportation Planner
Management of Mobility Division
Maan Sidhu
Assistant Area Traffic Engineer King County

NOVEMBER 17, 2020


\section*{Today's meeting}
- Study background and context
- Existing conditions and needs
- Problem statement
- Goals and objectives
- Evaluation criteria
- Communications and outreach
- Next steps

- Discussion

\section*{Study Partners}

\section*{जो WSDOT}
- King County Roads Division
- King County Metro
- Fall City Community Association
- Washington State Patrol
- Washington Trucking Association
- Puget Sound Regional Council
- Tribes


\section*{Study purpose and context}
- Understand community needs, priorities, and roadway issues
- Document community vision within Fall City
- Identify strategies \& improvement concepts to improve
o Pedestrian and traveler safety
o Multimodal access
o Environment

\section*{Existing Conditions}
- Environmental conditions
- Pedestrian connectivity
- Transit

- Roadway/intersection operations and safety

\section*{Environmental Conditions}

\section*{जो WSDOT}



\section*{Flood zones and wetlands}

\section*{जो WSDOT}


\footnotetext{
—study Extent
\(\square\) Freshwater Forested/Shrub Wetland (PFO, PSS)
Freshwater Emergent Wetland (PEM)
Freshwater Pond (PUB, PAB)
Riverine
}

National Wetland Inventory - Wetlands

\section*{Transit access in Fall City}

- Transit Stops

Transit Stops near SR 202
-Study Extent


2 Miles

\section*{Corridor tour, discussion}


\section*{Problem Statement}

SR 202 in rural King County between the intersections of 244th Avenue NE and 324th Avenue SE has operational and safety performance issues.

SR 202 in Fall City lacks complete pedestrian facilities and has documented performance issues.


\section*{Draft Evaluation Criteria}
- Safety (consistency with Target Zero, Crash-reduction, etc.)
- Accessibility (pedestrian connectivity, access to transit)
- Constructability (cost, technical feasibility, etc.)
- Community Support (including preserving community character)

\section*{Communications and outreach 施WSDOT}
- Web survey
o Trip purpose
o Origins and Destinations
o User needs
- Online open house
- Webpage, online resources
7. WSDOT

Corridor Studies


SR 202 in Fall city
SR 202 within Fall City has gaps in pedestrian facilities between recreational areas, residential neighborhoods,
and businesses in Fall City. The intersection of Preston Fall City Road also remains unimproved since the completion of the roundabout at the intersection of SR 202 and SR 203. This study will assess corridor needs for SR 202 from the 324 th Ave SE intersection to the
roundabout junction at SR 203. It will document stratcoundabout junction at SR 203. It will document strat accessibility for all users.
- Understand travel patterns and issues
- Obtain feedback from residents and stakeholders on existing and future corridor needs, performance gaps, and other concerns
- Develop strategies for improved bicycle, pedestrian, and transit access, safety, and operations
- Integrate strategies with partner efforts, such as the Fall City Community Association and others

\section*{Schedule}

\section*{जो WSDOT}

Summer - Fall 2020
- Data collection
- Engagement and survey

Fall 2020 - Winter 2021
- Concept development
- Ongoing engagement

\section*{Summer 2021}
- Final report available online


\section*{जो WSDOT}

\section*{Questions?}

Thomas Noyes: Thomas.Noyes@wsdot.wa.gov
Maan Sidhu: Maan.Sidhu@wsdot.wa.gov

Studies Webpage: https://bit.ly/SR202studies

\title{
SR 202 Corridor-Study Final Stakeholder Committee Meeting
}

December 9, 2021

\author{
Attendees \\ Maan Sidhu - WSDOT NW Traffic; Thomas Noyes - WSDOT MoM Division; April Delchamps WSDOT MoM Division; Alex Henry - WSDOT MoM Division; Angela Donaldson - Fall City Community Association; Kirk Harris - Fall City Metropolitan Park District; Amy Biggs - Snoqualmie Valley Transportation; Salwa Raphael - Hopelink Mobility Team; Jim Ishimaru - King County Roads; Keith Brown - King County Roads; Linda Salhah - City of Sammamish; Doug McIntyre - City of Sammamish; Corey Holder - King County Transit; Carolyn Malcom - Snoqualmie Valley School District; Carrie Lee Gagnon - Fall County Community Association
}

\section*{Introductions / Overview}

Thomas Noyes, WSDOT, welcomed attendees to the meeting and facilitated introductions. Thomas then led the group through an overview presentation that summarized the study purpose and context, corridor existing conditions, and the results of the public survey.

\section*{Evaluation Criteria/Concepts}

Thomas presented the evaluation criteria that was used for the identification and screening of improvements. These criteria were shared with the Stakeholder Committee at its initial meeting, and include safety, accessibility, constructability, and community support.

Maan Sidhu, WSDOT, walked through the proposed concepts which include various non-motorized and speed management improvements in the downtown Fall City area, and the installation of roundabouts at the Preston/Fall City Rd, Ames Lake Rd, and Tolt Hill Rd intersections. The revised alignment of SR 202 through central Fall City will include 62 'back-in' parking stalls and there will be 38 parallel parking stalls on the north side of SR 202 through central Fall City. This represents a slight loss of parking from the current configuration, however, current parallel parking stalls on the north side of SR 202 are not striped or delineated so this will better arrange and organize parking on SR 202. Also, 'back-in' parking on the south side of SR 202 will allow for safer parking access and operations on SR 202 in Fall City.

Maan briefly described the planning-level cost estimates as follows: Ames Lake Road Roundabout = \(\mathbf{\$ 7 M}\); NE Tolt Hill Road I/S \(=\mathbf{\$ 1 0 M}\); and the central Fall City improvement concept(s) \(=\mathbf{\$ 1 0 B}\) (Preston - FC Road compact roundabout, 14 -foot pedestrian-bike lane on the N/S, various pedestrian crossings, realigned parking, SE \(334^{\text {th }}\) Avenue \(/ 42^{\text {nd }}\) Street SE intersection design, etc.)

\section*{Concept Comments/Discussion}

Comment: Angela Donaldson, Fall City Community Association (FCCA), asked about the potential to relocate the school bus pick-up/drop-off location for the nearby Fall City Elementary School
Response: Thomas indicated that the study team had met with the school administration and discussed this topic. Coordination will continue as the project proceeds to design

Comment: Angela Donaldson, FCCA, inquired if the study team had reached out to Aroma Coffee Co and Treehouse Supply to discuss potential delivery impacts, and offered to provide contact information Response: Maan indicated that the study team would reach out to the business owners.

Comment: Carrie Lee, FCCA, commented on continued issues with traffic on alleyways adjacent to the corridor
Response: Thomas indicated that the study team will continue to coordinate with King County, who have jurisdiction over the alleyways

Comment: Salwa Raphael Hopelink, commented that high speeds along the corridor can make crossing the road as a pedestrian difficult, and asked if any enhanced crossing treatments were being applied to the proposed crosswalks. Angela Donaldson (FCCA) inquired if crossing flags could be provided Response: Maan shared that the crosswalks at the proposed Preston-Fall City Rd roundabout will be raised and that proposed crosswalks along the corridor will included Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacons (RRFB). He explained the proposed installation of the roundabout, the addition of on-street parking, and narrowing of travel lanes will work to calm traffic and make crossing easier and safer. Crossing flags are a low-cost improvement that could definitely be considered.

Comment: Angela Donaldson, FCCA, inquired if the proposed roundabout at Preston-Fall City Road would have impacts on the adjacent Last Frontier Saloon or Roadhouse Restaurant and Inn
Response: Maan indicated that the roundabout concept would have some impact, and reduce the area currently being used for parking. The study team will discuss the concept with impacted business owners

Comment: Amy Biggs, Snoqualmie Valley Transportation, commented that the proposed concept does not account for the King County Metro Stop along SE \(42^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{Pl}\) that would need to be relocated. They also inquired if proposed parking changes would impact the other existing bus stops along the corridor.
Response: Maan indicated that the study team will facilitate a follow up conversation with the transit providers to address these concerns

Comment: Salwa Raphael, Hopelink, expressed concerns about vehicle speeds approaching the proposed roundabout at Tolt Hill Rd and asked if any measures were being taken to reduce the speed limit Response: Maan indicated that the roundabout will include advance warming signage to encourage drivers to slow down, and a reduced advisory speed limit within the roundabout. Maan also added that the physical elements of the roundabout are designed in a way that encourage drivers to slow down

Comment: Amy Biggs, Snoqualmie Valley Transportation, asked about the funding source for the proposed improvements and requested that the report include a list of potential funding sources Response: Thomas clarified that none of the concepts presented are currently funded, but that WSDOT will continue to explore various funding strategies and coordinate with partners on implementation

\section*{Next Steps and Follow-up Actions}

Thomas concluded the presentation by discussing next steps which include presenting findings to FCCA, finalizing the study report, and working with stakeholders to implement plan recommendations. Angela Donaldson confirmed that the study team was scheduled to present to FCCA at their January \(4^{\text {th }}\) meeting
and recommended that the shortened presentation focus on non-motorized improvements and changes to parking.

Thomas and Maan thanked the committee members for their participation and the meeting was ended.

\section*{Action Items:}
- Study team to coordinate with business owners along the corridor whose deliveries/parking may be impacted by study recommendations
- Study team to facilitate meeting with transit providers to discuss impacts to existing transit stops
- Today's presentation and meeting summary will be sent out to meeting participants.

Washington State Department of Transportation

September 30, 2020
The Honorable Jaison Elkins
The Muckleshoot Indian Tribe
39015 172 \(^{\text {nd }}\) Avenue SE
Auburn, WA 98092

RE: Consultation regarding the SR 202 Studies

\section*{Dear Chairman Elkins:}

The Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) Management of Mobility Division has commenced a pair of studies of SR 202 in east King County (see attached map). We invite the Tribe to participate in this study.

The goal of these SR 202 studies is to conduct a high-level assessment of multimodal, access, safety, traffic operations, and related performance gaps on the SR 202 corridor from the SR \(202 / 244^{\text {th }}\) Avenue NE intersection to the SR 202/SR 203 intersection in Fall City. These two studies will document strategies and concepts to improve operations, safety performance, and accessibility for all SR 202 corridor users.

We are establishing a stakeholder committee for the SR 202 studies and invite your staff to participate. We expect the stakeholder committee to meet twice during the course of these studies, which will conclude by June 2021. All meetings will be held virtually, and we plan to conduct additional document reviews via email. We expect the kickoff meeting for stakeholder committee to be held in mid-to-late October of this year. We are also happy to meet separately with the Tribe if requested. If a project proposal were to move forward as a result of these studies, formal government-to-government consultation will be initiated during NEPA evaluation.

We would appreciate your response to this invitation letter, acknowledging the Tribe's interest in participating in the study. If you have any further questions or would like additional information about the SR 202 studies, please contact the study lead, Thomas Noyes at (206) 464-1272 or noyest@wsdot.wa.gov or myself at (206) 464-1264 or mayhewr@wsdot.wa.gov

Sincerely,


Robin Mayhew, AICP
WSDOT Management of Mobility Director

RM:tn
cc: Laura Murphy, Cultural Resources
Glen St. Amant, Natural Resources
Riley Patterson, Planning
John Daniels, WITPAC
Cameron Kukes, WSDOT Northwest Region Environmental Manager Megan Cotton, WSDOT Executive Tribal Liaison
Eliza McGovern, WSDOT Northwest Region Tribal Liaison and Restoration Lead

September 30, 2020
The Honorable Robert de los Angeles
The Snoqualmie Indian Tribe
Post Office Box 969
Snoqualmie, WA. 98065

RE: Consultation regarding the SR 202 Studies

Dear Chairman de los Angeles:
The Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) Management of Mobility Division has commenced a pair of studies of SR 202 in east King County (see attached map). We invite the Tribe to participate in this study.

The goal of these SR 202 studies is to conduct a high-level assessment of multimodal, access, safety, traffic operations, and related performance gaps on the SR 202 corridor from the SR \(202 / 244^{\text {th }}\) Avenue NE intersection to the SR 202/SR 203 intersection in Fall City. These two studies will document strategies and concepts to improve operations, safety performance, and accessibility for all SR 202 corridor users.

We are establishing a stakeholder committee for the SR 202 studies and invite your staff to participate. We expect the stakeholder committee to meet twice during the course of these studies, which will conclude by June 2021. All meetings will be held virtually, and we plan to conduct additional document reviews via email. We expect the kickoff meeting for stakeholder committee to be held in mid-to-late October of this year. We are also happy to meet separately with the Tribe if requested. If a project proposal were to move forward as a result of these studies, formal government-to-government consultation will be initiated during NEPA evaluation.

We would appreciate your response to this invitation letter, acknowledging the Tribe's interest in participating in the study. If you have any further questions or would like additional information about the SR 202 studies, please contact the study lead, Thomas Noyes at (206) 464-1272 or noyest@wsdot.wa.gov or myself at (206) 464-1264 or mayhewr@wsdot.wa.gov

Sincerely,


Robin Mayhew, AICP
WSDOT Management of Mobility Director

RM:tn
cc: Steven Mullen-Moses, Cultural Resources
Cindy Spiry, Natural Resources
Jaime Martin, Planning
Cameron Kukes, WSDOT Northwest Region Environmental Manager Megan Cotton, WSDOT Executive Tribal Liaison
Eliza McGovern, WSDOT Northwest Region Tribal Liaison and Restoration Lead

Washington State Department of Transportation

September 30, 2020
The Honorable Shawn Yanity
Stillaguamish Tribe of Indians
3322 236 \({ }^{\text {th }}\) Avenue NE, Arlington, WA.

RE: Tribal Participation in the SR 202 Study

Dear Chairman Yanity:
The Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) Management of Mobility Division has commenced a pair of studies of SR 202 in east King County. We invite the Tribe to participate in this study.

The goal of these SR 202 studies is to conduct a high-level assessment of multimodal, access, safety, traffic operations, and related performance gaps on the SR 202 corridor from the SR \(202 / 244^{\text {th }}\) Avenue NE intersection to the SR 202/SR 203 intersection in Fall City. These two studies will document strategies and concepts to improve operations, safety performance, and accessibility for all SR 202 corridor users.

We are establishing a stakeholder committee for the SR 202 studies and invite your staff to participate. We expect the stakeholder committee to meet twice during the course of these studies, which will conclude by June 2021. All meetings will be held virtually, and we plan to conduct additional document reviews via email. We expect the kickoff meeting for stakeholder committee to be held in mid-to-late October of this year. We are also happy to meet separately with the Tribe if requested. If a project proposal were to move forward as a result of these studies, formal government-to-government consultation will be initiated during NEPA evaluation.

We would appreciate your response to this invitation letter, acknowledging the Tribe's interest in participating in the study. If you have any further questions or would like additional information about these studies, please contact the study lead, Thomas Noyes at (206) 464-1272 or noyest @wsdot.wa.gov or myself at (206) 464-1264 or mayhewr@,wsdot.wa.gov

Sincerely,


Robin Mayhew, AICP
WSDOT Management of Mobility Director

RM:tn
cc:
Kerry Lyste, Cultural Resources
Sam Barr, Cultural Resources
Patrick Stevenson, Natural Resources
Casey Stevenson, Planning
Cameron Kukes, WSDOT Northwest Region Environmental Planning Manager
Megan Cotton, WSDOT Executive Tribal Liaison
Eliza McGovern, WSDOT Northwest Region Tribal Liaison and Restoration Lead

Washington State Department of Transportation

September 30, 2020
The Honorable Teri Gobin
The Tulalip Tribes
6406 Marine Drive
Tulalip, WA. 98271

RE: Consultation regarding the SR 202 Studies

\section*{Dear Chairperson Gobin:}

The Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) Management of Mobility Division has commenced a pair of studies of SR 202 in east King County. We invite the Tribe to participate in this study.

The purpose of these two SR 202 studies is to identify and address current traffic operations, traffic growth, multimodal needs/performance gaps on the SR 202 corridor from the SR \(202 / 244^{\text {th }}\) Avenue NE intersection to the SR 202/SR 203 intersection in Fall City. These two studies will document strategies and concepts to improve operations, safety performance, and accessibility for all SR 202 corridor users.

We are establishing a stakeholder committee for the SR 202 studies and invite your staff to participate. We expect the stakeholder committee to meet twice during the course of these studies, which will conclude by June 2021. All meetings will be held virtually, and we plan to conduct additional document reviews via email. We expect the kickoff meeting for stakeholder committee to be held in mid-to-late October of this year. We are also happy to meet separately with the Tribe if requested. If a project proposal were to move forward as a result of these studies, formal government-to-government consultation will be initiated during NEPA evaluation.

We would appreciate your response to this invitation letter, acknowledging the Tribe's interest in participating in the study. If you have any further questions or would like additional information about these studies, please contact the study lead, Thomas Noyes at (206) 464-1272 or noyest@wsdot.wa.gov or myself at (206) 464-1264 or mayhewr@wsdot.wa.gov

Sincerely,


Robin Mayhew, AICP
WSDOT Management of Mobility Director

RM:tn
cc:
Richard Young, Cultural Resources
Kurt Nelson, Natural Resources
Christina Parker, Planning
Teresa Sheldon, WITPAC
Cameron Kukes, WSDOT Northwest Region Environmental Manager
Megan Cotton, WSDOT Executive Tribal Liaison
Eliza McGovern, WSDOT Northwest Region Tribal Liaison and Restoration Lead

Washington State Department of Transportation

September 30, 2020
The Honorable Delano Saluskin
Yakama Nation
Post Office Box 151
Toppenish, WA 98948
RE: Tribal Participation in the SR 202 Study

\section*{Dear Chairman Saluskin:}

The Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) Management of Mobility Division has commenced a pair of studies of SR 202 in east King County. We invite the Tribe to participate in this study.

The goal of these SR 202 studies is to conduct a high-level assessment of multimodal, access, safety, traffic operations, and related performance gaps on the SR 202 corridor from the SR \(202 / 244^{\text {th }}\) Avenue NE intersection to the SR 202/SR 203 intersection in Fall City. These two studies will document strategies and concepts to improve operations, safety performance, and accessibility for all SR 202 corridor users.

We are establishing a stakeholder committee for the SR 202 studies and invite your staff to participate. We expect the stakeholder committee to meet twice during the course of these studies, which will conclude by June 2021. All meetings will be held virtually, and we plan to conduct additional document reviews via email. We expect the kickoff meeting for stakeholder committee to be held in mid-to-late October of this year. We are also happy to meet separately with the Tribe if requested. If a project proposal were to move forward as a result of these studies, formal government-to-government consultation will be initiated during NEPA evaluation.

We would appreciate your response to this invitation letter, acknowledging the Tribe's interest in participating in the study. If you have any further questions or would like additional information about these studies, please contact the study lead, Thomas Noyes at (206) 464-1272 or noyest@.wsdot.wa.gov or myself at (206) 464-1264 or mayhewr@,wsdot.wa.gov

Sincerely,


Robin Mayhew, AICP
WSDOT Management of Mobility Director

RM:tn
cc:
Casey Barney, Cultural Resources
Elizabeth Sanchey, Natural Resources
Alvin Pinkham, Planning \& WITPAC
Cameron Kukes, WSDOT Northwest Region Environmental Planning Manager Megan Cotton, WSDOT Executive Tribal Liaison
Eliza McGovern, WSDOT Northwest Region Tribal Liaison and Restoration Lead```
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